

OIR Report of Oversight of Administrative Discipline Cases:
November , December 2002 & January 2003

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Deputies mishandled detention of suspect, allowing him to flee. Deputies then used poor tactics in the ensuing foot pursuit, primarily because of their decision to split. One deputy then used a backup weapon in shooting suspect, who died.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence.	Founded for both	Subject (1): 10 days for performance to standards. Subject (2): 15 days for performance to standards and use of weapon with which he had failed to qualify.		Rejected by D.A. based on self-defense on 10/01. Lawsuit is pending that alleges wrongful death.
Deputies approached suspect, who was at the wheel of an idling car in a long narrow alley. Suspect refused to comply with orders and instead attempted to flee, endangering deputies with vehicle. Deputies fired several rounds but did not hit the suspect, who surrendered.	Case presented at Executive Force Review on 11-14-02. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		
Deputies responding to report of several young men shooting into the air chased two, separating during pursuit. Each deputy fired at a suspect when suspect pointed a gun. One suspect shot in the hand.	OIR noted two other recent shootings by one of the deputies and recommended immediate assignment change and close mentoring. LASD changed deputy's shift temporarily then after fourth incident, instituted mentor development plan including assignment changes. See also OIR #020308 and #020360.				Pending D.A. review
Deputies mistakes and discourtesy in handling a 9-1-1 call to station desk delayed fire department response to residence where baby had stopped breathing. Baby died.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR recommended that unit commander await complete Coroner's report before completing evaluation. LASD agreed.				BOS passed on 12/10/02 motion relating to this incident requesting LASD explanation for delayed emergency response.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Suspect assaulted deputies and fled on foot, ending up in the San Gabriel River. Suspect was armed with a knife and refused to surrender. LASD personnel deployed various weapons and devices in an attempt to end the standoff, which lasted for approximately three hours and ended with the accidental drowning of the suspect.	IAB Investigation pending.				Rejected by D.A.'s Office in January of 2003.
Deputy shot and killed civilian with a history of mental conditions armed with a knife.	<p>Investigation: Adequate</p> <p>At Force Review, OIR recommended that further inquiry be done regarding whether Mental Evaluation Team ("MET") could have been called and conditions surrounding officer search of apartment of decedent's "common-law" wife.</p> <p>Further inquiry determined that "MET" Team was not available and dynamics of incident would have prevented the team from responding in time to be of assistance.</p> <p>This case re-presented to Executive Force Review. Panel concluded that Use of Force was within policy. OIR concurrence. Panel concluded that search issue resulted in inconclusive information about whether justification for entry into apartment was legally sufficient. LASD agreed with OIR's recommendation to deal with search issue as a training issue at the station.</p>	N/A	N/A		D.A. reject. Claim for Wrongful Death denied. Rejected by D.A. Office based on self-defense in March 2003.
Deputy (1) shot at civilian who was shooting at him with a firearm during a foot pursuit. Deputy (1) struck with one bullet. Deputy (2) shot and hit civilian who was shooting at Deputy (1).	<p>Investigation: Adequate</p> <p>At force review, OIR recommended further investigation regarding deputies' tactics.</p> <p>This case presented again to Executive Force Review. Panel concluded that Use of Force was within policy. OIR concurrence, but recommended debriefing and training for station regarding deputies' deployment. Panel agreed.</p>	N/A		N/A	D.A. reject. Claim for bullet hole in tire paid.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>Subject (1), a supervisor, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and failed to supervise Subject (2).</p> <p>Subject (2), a Deputy, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and made false statements to Subject (1) about Deputy (A).</p> <p>See also OIR #020278 and #020279.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject (1): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others; Performance to Standards, and Responsibility for Conduct of Subordinates and/or Unnecessary Interference.</p> <p>Subject (2): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others and/or Unnecessary Interference; and False Statements and/or Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Subject (1): 15 days suspension</p> <p>Subject (2): Discharge</p>	<p>Subject (1): Letter of Intent</p> <p>Subject (2): Letter of Intent</p>	
<p>Deputy gave confidential report to victims of crime. Disclosure was either willful or negligent.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD Concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Disclosing confidential information; Performance to standards; Failure to make accurate statements; General behavior and Obedience to laws.</p>	<p>15 days suspension</p>	<p>Imposed</p>	
<p>Deputy was arrested in another County for Domestic Violence, 273. 5 P.C.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough as to incident, but deputy's prior record not adequately researched. Charges: Appropriate Findings: Accepted by Captain after OIR research and presentation of prior record. Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Founded</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Reduced at Skelley to 20 days suspension plus extensive alcohol and counseling conditions</p>	
<p>Sergeant failed to respond immediately to significant incident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Founded</p>	<p>1 day suspension</p>	<p>Imposed as written reprimand</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>Two deputies detained a suspect who dropped a bag of narcotics. Before being handcuffed, suspect attempted to strike Deputy (2) and ran away. Deputy (2) pursued on foot; Deputy (1) pursued in patrol car. Deputy (1) caught suspect from behind and struck him twice on the head with pistol butt when suspect appeared to be reaching for possible weapon. Three teenage bystanders allege different actions by the deputies including Deputy (1) throwing his flashlight at suspect during the chase and Deputy (2) kneeling suspect in the chest and kicking him on the ground. Suspect went into a coma-like state for a few hours following arrest.</p>	<p>Investigation: Investigator did supplemental interview requested by OIR. Charges: Appropriate as modified by Executive Force Review Committee to include Performance to Standards. Findings: By Executive Force Review panel on 11-14-02. LASD concurrence as to False Statements. OIR concurrence as to Performance to Standards. Discipline: OIR concurrence with Executive Force Review. OIR did not concur with Chief.</p>	<p>Force unfounded as to both; False Statements founded as to both; Performance to Standards founded for Deputy (1)</p>	<p>Executive Force Review recommendation: Deputy (1) 20 days suspension; Deputy (2) 15 days suspension</p>	<p>All charges deemed unresolved by Chief, superceding Executive Force Review recommendation due to judgement on civilian witness credibility. OIR chose not to pursue disagreement further.</p>	
<p>Four inmates returning from visiting room slipped their waist chains, refused to enter their cells, began swinging chains and chairs and urging inmates in cells to protest and throw things. Deputies retreated. Supervisors formed a plan to quell the disturbance and briefed emergency response teams. Video tape of the incident shows a relatively controlled, well executed plan. Eleven inmates and four deputies received minor injuries. One inmate suffered a broken leg.</p>	<p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 11-14-02. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Deputy visited residence of prostitute numerous times while on duty and had consensual sex. On one occasion, deputy took prostitute to remote location and had consensual sex in radio car. Deputy followed and harassed prostitute causing prostitute to fear for her safety and file complaint. Deputy made false statements to Department.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: OIR recommended adding false statements charge and prohibited association charge. Findings: LASD concurrence except OIR recommendation regarding findings as to sexual acts not followed. Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Founded regarding neglect of duty and false statements. Unfounded regarding sex act in patrol car.</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Imposed</p>	
<p>Deputies responding to a report that a disruptive individual was being beaten with baseball bats, found a semi-conscious man on the ground, salivating and showing other signs of drug overdose. They called paramedics who arrived quickly. At paramedics request, deputies helped move victim to an open area and restrained him so he could be treated. Videotape shows this done quickly and gently. Victim dies within minutes.</p>	<p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 11-14-02. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurred.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Off-duty deputy returning to personal car saw a suspected burglar breaking into it. Deputy tried to walk on by, but was approached by suspect. Deputy identified self and reached for gun. Suspect fled. When deputy yelled stop, deputy accidentally discharged one round in the general direction of suspect, who was not captured.	Case presented at Executive Force Review on 12-12-02 and returned on 02-13-03 for additional investigation regarding accidental nature of discharge. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		
Subject (non-sworn staff) was involved in an off-duty verbal confrontation with a civilian and an off-duty Deputy. During the confrontation, Subject identified self as a member of the Department and used this position to threaten the civilian and the Deputy. The Deputy avoided the argument and walked away.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate ¹ Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: Appropriate	Founded for General Behavior, Conduct Toward Others, Obstructing an Investigation	Discharge	Subject resigned	
Inmate 1, who had right arm fractured when he was shot 6 weeks prior, started fist fight with Inmate 2. Inmate 1 refused deputies' orders to put hands behind back. Deputies put Inmate 1's arms behind his back causing right arm to re-fracture.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded	N/A		
Deputy, after months at home (IOD), misrepresented his condition to his supervisor as totally disabling. Surveillance showed that during this period, he sold foodstuffs from his car, despite being ordered not to do so.	Investigation: Thorough. OIR requested supplemental interview to determine whether Subject had made false statements to a supervisor. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded	Discharge	Pending imposition	D.A. reject
Deputy conducted a traffic stop of civilian complainant for illegal parking and, during the traffic stop, lied to the complainant about the reason for the stop and made racially offensive statements to the complainant. Later that evening during a conflict resolution meeting at the Station, Deputy admitted to lying to the complainant about the reason for the stop but denied making any racially motivated statements.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Conduct Towards Others, Derogatory Language, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders, and False Statements	Discharge	Approved at Case Review. Pending Letter of Intent.	

¹Due to the nature of the allegations and position and history of the individual, OIR reviewed the investigation, but left it to the discretion of LASD to determine an appropriate resolution.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>A deputy alleged that during an investigation into the disappearance of a deputy, the Subjects publicly and recklessly made statements falsely associating the complaining deputy with known criminals and claiming that the complaining deputy provided information about the LASD task force operations to those criminals. See also OIR #020017 and #020279.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Subject (1): Unresolved as to Chain of Responsibility; Conduct Towards Others and/or Unnecessary Interference. Subject (2): Unresolved as to Chain of Responsibility, Conduct Towards Others and/or Unnecessary Interference.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>An LASD detective alleged that during an investigation into the disappearance of an LASD deputy, the Subjects publicly and recklessly made statements falsely associating the detective with a motorcycle gang and that these false statements disparaged the detective's character, caused the detective to be moved from a desirable assignment and prevented the detective from obtaining other desired assignments. See also OIR #020017 and #020278.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Adequate Result: Appropriate Discipline: Not applicable</p>	<p>Unresolved as to both for all charges. No evidence to support the finding that any statements by the Subjects caused the detective injury.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Deputy was informed by the manager of a bar that an individual wanted by LASD for robbery and terrorist threats was at the bar. Deputy and three assisting deputies went to the bar. When they entered the suspect saw them and walked in the opposite direction into a dark kitchen at the rear of the bar. Deputy saw suspect reaching for his waistband. As Deputy opened the door to the kitchen, he heard a bang, thought he was being fired at, fired once in the direction of the bang, and retreated. Deputy missed suspect. Suspect was apprehended after he crawled out of the kitchen and behind the bar. A toy gun was found behind the bar in a bucket of beer.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Case presented at Executive Force Review on 12/12/02. Panel found use of Force to be within policy. Tactical questions raised about failure to involve a field supervisor. These issues were not found to rise to the level of a policy violation. No further investigation needed. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>Subject (1) yelled at Subject (2) using profanity after a meeting where Subject (2) complained about Subject (1)'s work performance. Subject (2) responded by displaying middle finger as Subject (1) walked away. Subject (2) felt physically threatened by Subject (1)'s conduct.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to both for Conduct Towards Others</p>	<p>Subject (1): Discharged based on prior settlement agreement requiring discharge if any similar instances occurred. Subject (2): 5 day suspension</p>		
<p>Deputies approached a vehicle at a gas station for expired registration. The driver admitted to not having a valid license. While Deputy (1) spoke with the driver, Deputy (2) contacted the passenger, the driver's teenage son. Deputy (2), attempted to detain the passenger in the backseat of the patrol car while Deputy (1) completed his investigation. At this point police officers from a local police agency arrived. Deputy (2) and the officers struggled with the passenger at the rear of the patrol car. Deputy (2) and the officers took the passenger to the ground, struggled with him there, and then handcuffed him. Meanwhile, Deputy (1) took the driver to the ground. After the passenger was cuffed, he was lifted off the ground by officers as captured on videotape. The officers of the local police agency are alleged to have slammed the passenger into the trunk of the car and punched him.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR interacted frequently with the responsible IAB investigators to ensure that all allegations that had been made were addressed by the investigation. Case presented to Executive Force Review on 03/06/03. Case pending Chief decision on panel's recommendations.</p>				<p>Criminal charges are pending against two of the Inglewood officers. The two deputies have not been charged. A civil lawsuit was filed.</p>
<p>Deputy fired several shots at suspects who were inside a car. The driver was allegedly attempting to run the deputy over, and the passenger allegedly brandished a gun. Suspects later abandoned their stolen vehicle and were not apprehended.</p>	<p>Force Review Pending</p>				
<p>Deputy (1) alleged spouse scratched his face. Deputy (2) alleged spouse's punch caused lump on her hand.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence in discharge recommendation of Deputy (1). OIR recommended 5 day suspension for Deputy (2).</p>	<p>Founded for both</p>	<p>Discharge as to Deputy (1). Deputy (2) 2 day suspension</p>	<p>Imposed</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Subject (Security Officer) was arrested for Possession of a Controlled Substance and Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance. Subject was ordered by Captain to submit to a drug test and tested positive for presence of both amphetamine and methamphetamine.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR and LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR and LASD concurrence	Founded for Use of Drugs, General Behavior	Discharge	Letter of Imposition	Criminal charges are pending against subject
Subjects (Supervisor and Deputy) had a verbal argument in an office. The argument was regarding the Supervisor's attempts to get the Deputy to provide information for a response to a Citizen's Complaint. The Deputy tried to leave the room to end the confrontation, but the Supervisor was in his path. The Supervisor decided to hold his ground and not let the Deputy pass. The Deputy pushed against the Supervisor attempting to get past. Another Supervisor and deputy stepped between them.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR and LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR and LASD concurrence	Founded for Conduct Toward Others for both subjects	Written Reprimand for both	Written Reprimand imposed on Deputy. Supervisor is out "injured on duty" and will receive reprimand when returns to duty.	
Subject (Security Officer) applied for position of Custody Assistant. During that application process, subject gave answers inconsistent with those on his Security Officer application. It is alleged that Subject falsified his pre-investigation questionnaire by omitting his past narcotic use (one use of marijuana and one of cocaine) and understating the number of times he paid for the services of a prostitute (in Tijuana and Nevada). Subject also paid for sexual relations with a stripper (prostitution) while an employee.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate after discussion between unit and OIR. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for False Statements, False Information in Records, Immoral Conduct	Discharge	Case Review has not yet been performed and Letter of Intent has not yet been issued	
The Deputies were called to the location because the suspect was acting bizarrely and was assaulting motorists in their cars. Deputy (1) was the first deputy to the scene and detained the suspect at gunpoint. Deputies (2) & (3) responded to assist, also drawing their guns. The suspect ran at Deputy (1) and all three deputies fired believing that the suspect was trying to take Deputy (1)'s gun. Suspect was killed.	Investigation: Thorough. At Executive Force Review, panel requested IAB investigation with OIR concurrence. Additional areas were addressed during the IAB investigation as requested by OIR. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR Concurrence Discipline: OIR Concurrence	Subject 1: Founded for Performance to Standards. Unresolved for Use of Firearms & Deadly Force. Subjects 2 & 3: Unfounded for Performance to Standards and Use of Firearms & Deadly Force.	20 days	Case Review has not yet been performed and Letter of Intent has not yet issued.	D.A. declined prosecution on basis that the deputies' expressed fear of immediate life threatening danger to Deputy (1) was reasonable.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>Unknown LASD employees are alleged to have strip searched a pregnant female misdemeanor.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: N/A Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Inactivated due to statute of limitations problems. Plaintiff in civil case had not named as defendants any LASD employees involved in the strip search. As a result, no time was tolled. By the time the case became an IAB investigation, the one-year statute had already run. Moreover, the investigation was unable to identify which LASD employees searched plaintiff.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		<p>Civil suit settled</p>
<p>Deputy (1) chased arrestee into a back yard after brief car pursuit, ultimately tackling arrestee who did not fight, but refused to put hands behind back to be handcuffed. Assisting Deputies (2) and (3) arrived while Deputy (1) and arrestee were still on the ground. Deputy (2) assisted by forcing arrestee's left arm behind his back, using standard technique. This broke arrestee's upper left arm.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Panel concluded that use of force was reasonable. No further investigation needed. Civilian witnesses had corroborated deputy descriptions of incident, no vigorous struggle and methodical use of handcuffing procedure. Panel also noted that initial pursuit was potentially and unnecessarily dangerous, but within current Department policy. Station Captain has ordered specialized training for station due to three bone injuries in past year. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>Inmate surrendered himself into LASD custody and, during his medical screening, inmate reported a history of diabetes, hypertension and high cholesterol and taking certain medications for these conditions. Subject (2), a nurse, conducted the initial medical screening and triage of inmate and failed to note properly inmate's age and eligibility for LASD's mandated enhanced medical screening and to list one of the medications inmate was taking.</p> <p>Subject (1), a doctor, reviewed inmate's medical file but did not examine inmate. Subject (1) failed to review the complete medical file, but relied upon the information from one of the documents completed by Subject (2) and contained in inmate's medical file. Subject (1) never ordered the enhanced medical screening or unreported medication for inmate. Subject (1) ordered the other required medications for inmate and blood pressure and blood sugar checks for inmate for five consecutive days.</p> <p>On the first day of the "five consecutive days" period, Subject (3), a nurse, gave inmate his prescribed medication; however, Subject (3) failed to check inmate's blood pressure as ordered by Subject (1). On that first day, while mopping the floor in his housing area, inmate suffered cardiac arrest and died.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. The witness interviews could have been more thoroughly conducted. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject (1): Founded as to Performance to Standards; Obedience to Laws, Orders and Regulations; and Safeguarding Persons in Custody.</p> <p>Subject (2): Founded as to Performance to Standards; Obedience to Laws, Orders and Regulations; and Safeguarding Persons in Custody.</p> <p>Subject (3): Founded as to Performance to Standards and Safeguarding Persons in Custody.</p>	<p>Subject (1): 10 days suspension</p> <p>Subject (2): 5 days suspension</p> <p>Subject (3): 1 day suspension</p>	<p>Subject (1): Letter of imposition</p> <p>Subject (2): Pending imposition</p> <p>Subject (3): Pending imposition</p>	<p>Civil suit settled.</p>
<p>While on duty, Deputy engaged in political activities and continued to engage in COPS Deputy activities after his removal from COPS Team and assignment to patrol.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence. The vast majority of witnesses against Deputy had no personal contact with Deputy, and their complaints were not based on personal knowledge. The credible facts indicated that Deputy's actions were in compliance with established LASD policy. Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unfounded as to political activity. Unresolved as to general behavior.</p>	<p>None</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Deputy struck suspect, who was fleeing on foot, with radio car. Suspect's injuries were minor. Suspect and one witness claimed that second deputy slapped back of suspect's head at arrest.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented at Executive Force Review on 02/13/03. Committee concluded that collision was inadvertent and evidence of head slap was minor and inconclusive. No further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		
Suspect led police from a different agency on a lengthy vehicle pursuit, which LASD monitored. Pursuit ended in LASD's patrol area and one LASD deputy joined other officers in shooting at resistant suspect whom they believed to be reaching for a weapon. Suspect was injured but survived.	Investigation: OIR requested further investigation into several issues related to the incident, including possible interviews with officers from the other participating police department. IAB agreed. Force Review pending.				Rejected by D.A.'s Office based on self-defense.
Deputy on patrol followed a female who lived outside of his patrol area and outside LA county. Deputy followed her into her apartment, looked into each room and asked if she was alone. Deputy asked for glass of water and gave her his work phone number. He asked her to call, hugged her, rubbed her back and sniffed her hair.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence with discharge	Founded as to Performance to Standards, False Statements, and Obedience to Laws	Discharge	Imposed. Pending appeal to civil service	Rejected by D.A.'s office/No known civil suit
Civilian employee had a poor attendance record, was admonished, failed to improve, then misstated arrival times on time cards.	Investigation: Terminate OIR oversight due to nature of case.				
Inmate scheduled for court appeared to be ill. Nurse and deputy who observed him allowed him to be readied for court. After appearing to sleep peacefully for some time while awaiting transportation, the inmate died.	Investigation: Adequate Charges Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence; however, requested clarification of policy and documentation triggered by "man down" calls. Currently working with Medical Services Bureau and Custody Division on new protocol. Discipline: N/A	Unresolved as to both.	None		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>Deputy (1) engaged in a car pursuit of persons who may have fired shots earlier in the night or may have been involved in a double homicide. During the car pursuit the driver of the civilian car may have driven his car at a deputy. At the conclusion of the car pursuit, the driver got out of his car, and Deputy (1) believed the driver to be reaching for his waistband. Deputy (1) fired 13 rounds from his service handgun and hit the driver once and the right rear passenger twice. Deputy (2) arrived at the conclusion of the car pursuit, saw the shattered rear window of the civilians' car and fired four rounds from his service handgun at the right front passenger whom Deputy (2) believed to be firing at deputies. The driver of the civilian car was not armed.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. There are substantial concerns regarding the manner of subject interviews and the delay in completing the investigation; however, these concerns do not adversely affect the final analysis of the case.</p> <p>Case is pending presentation to the Executive Force Review Committee.</p>				<p>D.A. concluded that Subjects (1) and (2) acted lawfully in self-defense and defense of others and, based on that conclusion, D.A. declined to file criminal charges. 03/18/02</p>
<p>Deputies attempted to detain two male suspects regarding a fight. Deputy (1) placed one handcuff on Suspect (1); however, he broke away and started swinging the loose side of the handcuff at the deputies. Suspect (2) tried to intervene between Deputy 1 and Suspect (1), resisting Deputy (2), who was detaining him. Deputy (2) pepper-sprayed Suspect (2) and he became compliant. The Deputies used pepper spray on Suspect (1), which had no effect. Deputy (1) struck the suspect on his shin and wrist with an expandable baton, giving commands between strikes. Suspect (1) became compliant and allowed the deputies to handcuff him.</p> <p>Both suspects were treated by a private EMT for the pepper spray.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate</p> <p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 02/13/03. Panel found use of force within policy. OIR concurrence.</p>	N/A			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>This arises out of the use of force described directly above in OIR # 020300.</p> <p>Suspect (1) was held at the City Walk sub-station for approximately 4 hours before he was transported to West Hollywood Station. While at the sub-station, Suspect (1) was acting erratically and kicking holes in the room he was being held in. Suspect (1) indicated he has PTSD and takes medication, but had not taken it that evening.</p> <p>Suspect (1) was taken directly to the station without going to a hospital for an ok to book. When the day Watch Commander spoke with the suspect at 0732, he discovered Suspect 1 had not gone to the hospital. Suspect (1) was transported to Cedars-Sinai at 0933 hours.</p> <p>Subject was the supervisor at City Walk on the night of the incident. Subject was told by the night Watch Commander to have Suspect (1) treated at a hospital and failed to do so.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: The charges against Subject were appropriate. However, OIR's opinion is that both Watch Commanders should also have been added as subjects. The night commander for failure to supervise; the day commander to determine the reason for the nearly 2 hour lapse between when he learned Suspect (1) had to go to the hospital and when the transport happened. OIR chose not to press this issue above the level of Executive Force Review. Findings: OIR concurrence as to this subject. Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Performance to Standards -Care of Prisoners, Reporting Force (which includes the need for medical treatment)</p>	<p>3 days suspension</p>		
<p>Wife went to hospital and allegedly told nurses deputy/husband grabbed and bruised her arms. Hospital called police. Wife refused to talk to police and refused to show bruises. Wife refused to cooperate with IAB. Deputy denied allegations.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unresolved</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Vehicle pursuit involving a suspected stolen car turned into foot pursuit. While partner returned to the radio car, one deputy trailed the suspect and saw him attempt to carjack a passing vehicle. Deputy grabbed the suspect, who drew a gun. Deputy killed suspect in the ensuing struggle.</p>	<p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Panel ordered that an IAB investigation be opened regarding the circumstances of the foot pursuit. OIR concurrence.</p>				<p>D.A. rejected the case based on self-defense.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>Subject (Reserve Deputy) was a guest lecturer for a Reserve Academy class. During the class session, Subject is alleged to have treated the recruits in a manner that was disrespectful, demeaning, humiliating and potentially dangerous. This conduct included requiring the recruits to perform “knuckle push-ups” as a discipline for failure to answer questions correctly, choking one recruit for failure to answer a question correctly and striking another recruit in the face.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Resolution pending discussion with Commander.</p>				<p>The alleged striking of the recruit’s face was investigated by ICIB, revealing the other conduct, and referred to the D.A.. The D.A. declined to file criminal charges. The recruit who was struck has filed a lawsuit.</p>
<p>Suspect detained regarding “man with a gun” call. Suspect suffered fractured left arm while being handcuffed by deputies.</p>	<p>Case presented to Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Panel concluded no further investigation was necessary. OIR concurred.</p>				
<p>Vehicle pursuit ended in narrow alleyway. Suspects fled from vehicle; one of them was carrying a visible gun and pointed it in deputies’ direction. One deputy fired thirteen rounds at suspect, who was not hit and was later apprehended.</p>	<p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.</p>	N/A	N/A		
<p>Subject 1 (Field Training Officer) allegedly coerced suspect into signing a consent to search waiver after deputies illegally searched the location. Subject 2 (Trainee) allegedly falsely documented the events in the arrest report, at the direction of Subject 1. Both Subjects 1 & 2 then testified regarding the events repeating the alleged falsities.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Pending formulation of charges by Advocacy Unit.</p>				<p>D.A. declined to file charges.</p>
<p>Deputy and partner engaged in a car pursuit of a speeding car. At the conclusion of the short car pursuit, there was a foot pursuit. During the foot pursuit, a suspect pointed a firearm at Deputy. Deputy shot the suspect.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Case presented at Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Panel concluded no policy violations, but possible pursuit/separation tactical issues that should be addressed through training. OIR concurred and will monitor “mentor development plan” to be implemented. See also OIR case #020012 ANF #020360.</p>				<p>D.A. declined to file criminal charges because it concluded that Deputy acted in self-defense</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Subject is alleged to have placed his hand over his 3-year old daughter's mouth, causing asphyxia, but no serious injury. Subject pled no contest to misdemeanor corporal injury to a child.	Case is pending Unit Commander's evaluation.				Criminal conviction
Deputy who arrested two drug suspects at a motel may have reported and testified inaccurately as to the sequence of observations, whether the door to a room was open or closed prior to a search, and whose name appeared on the room registration	Case is pending unit commander evaluation.				
<p>Deputy (1) took the complainant, a trustee, to a location on county property, kissed the complainant and requested the complainant perform oral sex on Deputy (1). Deputy (1) with or without the complainant engaged in sexual activity on county property.</p> <p>On several occasions, Deputy (2) tried to kiss the complainant and engaged in inappropriate touching of the complainant's body.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded as to General Behavior, Fraternalization, and/or Performance to Duty; Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders; Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During LASD Internal Investigations; and General Behavior, Performance of Duty, and/or Performance to Standards.</p> <p>Deputy (2): Unresolved as to all charges.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Discharge Approved at Case Review</p> <p>Deputy (2): None</p>		<p>There was insufficient evidence to corroborate the complainant's allegations. D.A. reject as to both.</p>
Subject allegedly became upset with inmate, removed him from cell, threw him against cell bars causing lump on inmate's head.	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	Pending review by Chief			
Female subject allegedly scratched her ex-husband. Child witness and subject claim it was accident.	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	Unresolved	None		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
<p>While on duty, civilian employee sent e-mail messages and images which were defamatory, sexual and/or derogatory in nature. Employee sent these messages via LASD's Data Network for personal, social and/or unofficial purposes.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR Concurrence Discipline: Pending decision</p>	<p>Founded as to Use of Communications Equipment, Unprofessional Use of County Communications and Network Systems, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders.</p>	<p>Pending decision</p>		
<p>Supervisor e-mailed two jokes to other employees, one with satirical political content, other satirizing marriage.</p>	<p>Investigation: Case transferred to Equity Unit. Terminate OIR oversight.</p>				
<p>Deputies tackled an inmate who was running toward a group of inmates, possibly to attack them and used excess force to subdue him and may have struck him after he was handcuffed. One deputy coerced the inmate into signing a false statement about the injuries. Another deputy threatened witness inmates if they cooperated with investigators.</p>	<p>Pending formulation of charges.</p>				
<p>Deputy (1) responded to a "911 hang up" call. Upon his arrival, he heard a woman screaming for help. He knocked on the door several times and was told to leave by a male, while the woman was still yelling for help. He attempted to force entry into the apartment. Assisting Deputy (2) arrived and was finally able to kick open the front door. Deputies saw a male standing in the living room holding a shotgun pointed at them. Deputy (1) was standing behind Deputy (2) and was able to back out of the suspect's line of fire. Deputy (2) fired one shot and then followed Deputy (1). The shot missed the suspect.</p>	<p>Pending Executive Force Review</p>				
<p>Four deputies forcibly removed an inmate from cell without proper authorization. Inmate cut one of them in the ensuing struggle. One deputy reported falsely about the incident.</p>	<p>Pending evaluation</p>				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Due to confusion over inmate names, a nurse gave an inmate an incorrect injection (insulin rather than anti-clotting medication). The nurse told a second nurse about the mistake and asked that nurse not tell anyone. Neither nurse notified a supervisor about the incident until confronted three days later.	Pending formulation of charges				D.A. declined to file falsification of medical records, 08/13/02.
Deputy (1) allegedly used force and failed to report it, failed to properly secure the arrestee in patrol car with a seat belt, failed to secure the arrestee while the deputy responded to an emergent call for assistance , and kneed the arrestee in head while the arrestee was handcuffed without provocation. Deputy (2) allegedly failed to properly secure the arrestee in his patrol car with a seat belt, failed to secure the arrestee while the deputy responded to an emergent call for assistance and failed to report force used by Deputy (2).	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Adequate after extensive discussion with Advocacy, Unit and Division Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded for Force, False Statements, Safeguarding Persons in Custody, Reporting Force Deputy (2): Founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody	Deputy (1): Discharge Deputy (2): Written Reprimand	Letter of Intent	D.A. declined to file charges
Two deputies had argued upon occasion. One deputy then attacked the other without provocation and punched the other deputy twice in the face. The victim deputy declined to prosecute.	OIR did not monitor this case until final decision on punishment. Investigation: N/A Charges: N/A Findings: N/A Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded	30 days suspension	Pending imposition	D.A. declined to file assault charges, 04/30/02.
Deputy (1) inappropriately searched arrestee. Deputy (1) made false statement during investigation. Deputy (2) prepared false police report	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: Awaiting Decision Discipline: Awaiting Decision	Investigation completed. Charges prepared. Awaiting disposition.			Deputy (1) D.A. Reject Deputy (2) pleaded no lo contendre to 148(a)(1) Delaying or Obstructing an Investigation on 04/10/02.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Deputies called by victims of suspect stabbing and throwing acid at people. Deputies see suspect chasing others with a knife and a glass vial. Deputies shoot and kill suspect when he runs at them.					Pending D.A. Review
On 05-01-03, IAB responded regarding a deputy involved non hit shooting.	Investigation: Adequate Pending evaluation.				
Suspect flees when deputy questions and attempts to detain him. Deputies setting up containment when suspect shoots at them from roof top. Deputies return fire, killing suspects.	Pending Executive Force Review				
When two deputies try to question driver and two passengers, driver struck deputy, started car and fled. Driver crashed into a fence then pointed automatic handgun at arriving deputies. One deputy fires, wounding suspect in leg.	Pending Executive Force Review.				D.A. Reject 09/09/02
Suspect in parked car near estranged wife's home, points gun at wife then at deputies. Deputies fire at suspect, killing him. Deputies also injured wife when intentionally shot her with stun bag to take her out of line of gunfire.	Pending Executive Force Review				D.A. Reject
While deputies detained two home invasion robbery suspects, a third suspect drove up and pointed a gun at the deputies who shot and killed him.					Pending D.A. opinion
Fleeing suspect abandoned car on an overpass. Foot pursuit by one deputy circled back to waiting deputy. Suspect pointed gun at second deputy, who fired. Wounded suspect then shot self in the head, fatally.					Pending D.A. opinion

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Deputies saw three men inside school property at midnight and tried to detain them. One pointed a gun at deputies who fired ten rounds, hitting suspect in left leg and left shoulder.	Pending IAB investigation				D.A. reject
Deputies responded to location and confronted suspect. Suspect retrieved a steel bar and shattered front window. Deputies followed suspect inside location, believing suspect would harm the informants. Deputy fought with suspect. Suspect attempted to take deputy's gun. Deputy shot at suspect and missed. Assisting deputies arrived and tased suspect four times. Suspect was also shot four times with stun bag. Suspect eventually taken down by deputies.					
Deputy goes to a man with a gun call, fires on suspect when he pulls gun from waist, wounding him.	Pending Homicide investigation				
Deputy involved shooting. Suspect deceased.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Suspect charges at deputies with knife, deputies fire at suspect, fatally wounding him.					Claim still pending. Sent to Unit on 01/16/03.
Deputies are surprised that car they have been pursuing is still occupied. They fire as suspect aggressively backs out of driveway and flees. Minor wound. See also OIR #020308 and #020012.	Pending Homicide referral to D.A.				
Suspect fires on deputies during pursuit, deputies return fire, disabling vehicle. Suspect surrenders with no injuries.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Three suspects flee on foot when pursuit ends in an alley. Deputy fires multiple rounds at one of them, putting bullets into an adjoining					
Deputies approached two teenagers, one of whom bolted and drew a gun during subsequent foot pursuit. One deputy fired several rounds.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Deputies saw man running with a gun in his hand. Deputies fired at suspect, missing him after being shot at. Suspect later surrendered.	Pending Executive Force Review				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Deputy believed suspect in vehicle, who was being fired at by unknown persons, was about to fire at him. Deputy discharged one round, missing suspect.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Suspect walking. Suspect fires after deputy attempted to stop. Deputy fires back, striking suspect several times. Suspect breaks into residence and hides. Containment set up. Suspect seen hours later outside nearby house by Canine Unit. Suspect fires gun at deputies and is killed in fire fight.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Suspect is shot after raising rifle during traffic stop in Motel parking lot and fighting with deputies.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review on 09/26/02. Panel concluded IAB investigation needed regarding supervisor's apparent failure to plan or supervise operation.				D.A. reject on 10/30/02
Deputies stopped stolen vehicle. Suspect exits vehicle pointing gun at deputies. Deputies fire at suspect, killing him.	Pending Homicide referral to D.A.				
Deputies respond to "burglary now" call, get into struggle with aggressive suspect who reaches repeatedly for deputies' gun. Fatally shot by deputy.					Pending D.A. opinion
Suspect fires at deputies through residence door, killing one deputy. Second deputy returns fire, hitting suspect who dies of self-inflicted head wound.	Pending Homicide referral to D.A.				
Deputy chased bicycle violation suspect into back yard. Suspect fires at deputy, missing him. Deputy returns fire, striking suspect in the left leg.	Pending Homicide referral to D.A.				
Vehicle stopped for traffic violation. Suspect flees on foot. during pursuit of suspect, suspect aims gun at deputies. Deputy fires, striking suspect once and killing him.	Pending Homicide investigation				
Stabbing suspect pursued from crime scene by deputies, drives into business parking lot, stops and fires assault rifle at deputies, who take cover and return fire, killing suspect.	Pending Homicide investigation				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil /Criminal
Deputies observed car jacking. Two suspects noticed deputies and ran. Deputies followed suspects to alley where suspect took out weapons and fired at patrol car. Both deputies jumped out and returned fire, killing both suspects.	Pending Homicide investigation				
Inmate threw foot at deputy. Subject Deputy opened cell to give inmate another food tray. Inmate charged deputy. Deputy punched inmate and inmate's finger was caught in cell door as deputy closed door. Inmate suffered loose front tooth and fractured little finger.	Case presented to executive Force Review on 11/14/02. Panel concluded discipline should be imposed.	Founded as to Obedience to Laws and Performance to Standards.	1 day suspension	Imposed	
Deputies pursue two armed robbery suspects in vehicle. The suspects stopped in an alley and ran. The passenger carried a gun and pointed it at deputies. Deputies fired at passenger, striking him in right thigh. Passenger suffered a through-and-through wound.	Case presented to Executive Force Review on 11/14/02. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurred.		N/A		
Suspect started fight with other inmate. Deputies ordered suspect to put his hands behind his back, but suspect refused. Deputies sprayed suspect. Suspect unknown to deputies had previously fractured his arm. When deputies were cuffing suspect, suspect's arm was refractured.	Case presented to Executive Force Review on 12/12/02. Panel found no further investigation necessary. OIR concurred.				