

**OIR Report of Oversight of Administrative Discipline Cases:
February, March & April 2003**

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputy at a party allegedly engaged in off duty fight with brother and attempted to break into office to retrieve his weapon during fight. After party, deputy allegedly accompanied brother, father, and friend to home of person involved in fight and stood by while brother stabbed that person, prevented others from assisting person stabbed, chased person stabbed into home without permission to enter, and hit person who came to aid of the stabbed person.	Investigation: Adequate, but could have been better. It was delayed and ran out of time so forced to rely on statements gathered by LAPD. Charges: Appropriate. OIR collaborated with Advocacy and LASD to craft. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded as to conduct after party when stabbing occurred. As to other allegations, insufficient evidence to find misconduct occurred.	Discharge	Letter of Imposition Civil Service hearings held in May 2003. Awaiting hearing officer decision.	D.A. and City Attorney declined to file criminal charges.
Deputies responding to report of several young men shooting into the air chased two, separating during pursuit. Each deputy fired at a suspect when suspect pointed a gun. One suspect shot in the hand.	OIR noted two other recent shootings by one of the deputies and recommended immediate assignment change and close mentoring. LASD changed deputy's shift temporarily then after fourth incident, instituted mentor development plan including assignment changes. See also OIR #020360.	Pending Executive Force Review set for 06/24/03			D.A. reject
Deputy's mistakes and discourtesy in handling a 9-1-1 call to station desk delayed fire department response to residence where baby had stopped breathing. Baby died.	Investigation: Thorough. OIR recommended that unit commander await complete Coroner's report before completing evaluation. LASD agreed. Charges: Appropriate. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR has recommended 10 to 15 day suspension and retraining of subject and clarification of front desk procedures and training of station personnel. Station has begun implementing new procedures.	Founded for Performance to Standards. Unresolved for Discourtesy.	Pending final decision.		BOS passed on 12/10/02 motion relating to this incident requesting LASD explanation for delayed emergency response.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Suspect assaulted deputies and fled on foot, ending up in the San Gabriel River. Suspect armed with a knife and refused to surrender. LASD personnel deployed various weapons and devices in an attempt to end the standoff, which lasted for approximately three hours and ended with the accidental drowning of the suspect.	IAB investigation nearly complete. Investigation file will be presented to Executive Force Review Committee and to an outside expert for their assessment. OIR continues to monitor the investigation to ensure its completeness and its focus on identified issues of concern.				Rejected by D.A.'s office in January of 2003. A second review then focused on issues arising from an erased portion of a videotape from the field. In June 2003, D.A. office determined that it had no basis for a prosecution in this matter.
<p>Subject (1), a supervisor, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and failed to supervise Subject (2).</p> <p>Subject (2), a Deputy, falsely accused Deputy (A) of having been involved in the death or disappearance of Deputy (B) and made false statements to Subject (1) about Deputy (A).</p> <p>See also OIR #020278 and #020279 in January 2003 Oversight Chart.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject (1): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others; Performance to Standards, & Responsibility for Conduct of Subordinates and/or Unnecessary Interference.</p> <p>Subject (2): Founded as to Conduct Towards Others and/or Unnecessary Interference; and False Statements and/or Performance to Standards.</p>	<p>Subject (1): 15 days suspension</p> <p>Subject (2): Discharge</p>	<p>Subject (1): Letter of Intent</p> <p>Subject (2): Letter of Intent</p>	
Sergeant joins pursuit by other police agency though ordered to stay out, Violates Code 3 policy, and fails to order deputies to desist.	<p>Investigation: At OIR request, additional witnesses interviewed.</p> <p>Charges: At OIR request, charges added.</p> <p>Findings: LASD concurrence.</p> <p>Discipline: LASD concurrence.</p>	Founded	Demotion	<p>Letter of Imposition</p> <p>Sergeant withdrew agreement to resign. Civil Service hearing scheduled.</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputy falsified information on police report regarding basis for the arrest and who made the arrest.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence with original recommendation of discharge; however, OIR does not concur with LASD post-Skelly settlement of 25-day suspension.	Discharge approved at Case Review. Letter of intent issued for discharge. Without consulting OIR, LASD reduced discipline after Skelly hearing to 25-day suspension. ¹	25 day suspension		Deputy prosecuted, pleaded no lo contendre.
Subject allegedly involved in off-duty accident and left scene. Subject claimed that he was not in car at time of accident. Subject allegedly assaulted cousin at a party. Subject failed to properly store firearm.	Investigation: Adequate. Subject reinterviewed per OIR request. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Because deputy was separated from Academy as a result of this incident, the separation served as the deputy's discipline.	N/A		D.A. declined assault charge.
While off-duty, the Deputy gambled at a Las Vegas casino, consumed alcoholic beverages and celebrated victories. At one point, the casino staff advised the Deputy to refrain from using profanity to avoid offending other patrons and hotel staff. When the Deputy failed to comply, the hotel security officers were summoned. As security officers escorted the Deputy out of the casino, the Deputy allegedly battered one of the security officers. The hotel security officers then took the Deputy to the ground and arrested him for battery.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded as to Conduct Towards Others. Founded as to Disorderly Conduct. Founded as to General Behavior. Founded as to Derogatory Language.	5 days suspension	Letter of intent issued. Pending imposition of discipline.	D.A. declined battery prosecution.
Deputy (1) stopped and inappropriately searched female detainee. Deputy (1) learned that Deputy (2) falsely claimed to have made the arrest, yet took no immediate action to correct the false report. Deputy (1) made false statements to supervisors about the arrest. Deputy (2) prepared a false police report. Deputy (2) made false statements to supervisors about the arrest. Deputy (2) failed to properly secure arrestee in back of radio car.	Investigation: Thorough. Per OIR request, arrestee interviewed, subject deputies reinterviewed and additional potential victim located and interviewed. Charges: Appropriate. OIR suggested additional charges. LASD agreed. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for both as to all charges.	Discharge for both.	Skelly hearing did not result in change. Awaiting Civil Service hearing.	D.A. filed against Deputy (2) for filing false report. Deputy pleaded no lo contendre to delaying investigation on 04/10/02. D.A. declined to prosecute Deputy (1).

¹ Since this case, OIR and LASD have formulated a new protocol that will ensure OIR consultation in similar future cases.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputy (1) stopped the civilian complainant who was riding his motorcycle and Deputy (2) arrived later to assist Deputy (1). The civilian complainant alleged that by threatening him with arrest or bodily injury during the traffic stop, Deputy (2) coerced the sale of the complainant's motorcycle for the Deputy's personal use and that Deputy (1) assisted Deputy (2) in this unlawful confiscation.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded as to Performance to Standards and/or General Behavior when the Deputy failed to maintain control of his traffic stop and allowed Deputy (2) to negotiate for the purchase of the motorcycle, assisted Deputy (2) in the placement of the motorcycle in a patrol car and failed to learn from Deputy (2) the disposition of the motorcycle. Deputy (2): Founded as to Bribes, Rewards, Loans, Gifts, favors when during the traffic stop Deputy (1) purchased the motorcycle. Founded as to Failure to Make Statements and/or Making False Statements During a Departmental Internal Investigation.	Deputy (1): 15 days suspension Deputy (2): Discharge	Deputy (1): Pending imposition of discipline. Deputy (2): Letter of intent. Pending imposition of discipline.	Deputy (1): None Deputy (2): D.A. declined criminal prosecution because the complainant/witness refused to return to the United States.
Civilian employee alleged that following an evening out drinking with the Deputy, another Department member and his wife, the four returned to the Deputy's residence. The employee alleged that after an argument with the Deputy and while the employee was trying to leave the residence, the Deputy punched the employee in the upper torso several times causing rib fracture. The employee alleged that others witnessed the battery.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Conduct Toward Others and General Behavior. Founded as to Failure to Report Incident to Supervisor.	5 days suspension	Letter of intent. Pending imposition of discipline.	D.A. declined to prosecute the case.
Inmate (1) , who had right arm fractured when he was shot six weeks prior, started fist fight with Inmate (2). Inmate (1) refused deputies' orders to put hands behinds back. Deputies put Inmate (1)'s arms behind his back causing right arm to re-fracture.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unfounded	N/A		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputy, after months at home (IOD), misrepresented his condition to his supervisor as totally disabling. Surveillance showed that during this period, he sold foodstuffs from his car, despite being ordered not to do so.	Investigation: Thorough. OIR requested supplemental interview to determine whether Subject had made false statements to a supervisor. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded	Discharge	Pending imposition	D.A. reject
Deputy conducted a traffic stop of civilian complainant for illegal parking and, during the traffic stop, lied to the complainant about the reason for the stop and made racially offensive statements to the complainant. Later that evening during a conflict resolution meeting at the Station, Deputy admitted to lying to the complainant about the reason for the stop but denied making any racially motivated statements.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Conduct Towards Others, Derogatory Language, Obedience to Laws, Regulations and Orders, and False Statements.	Discharge	Discharge approved at Case Review. Letter of intent issued. Pending imposition of discipline.	
Deputies approached a vehicle at a gas station for expired registration. The driver admitted to not having a valid license. While Deputy (1) spoke with the driver, Deputy (2) contacted the passenger, the driver's teenage son. Deputy (2) attempted to detain the passenger in the backseat of the patrol car while Deputy (1) completed his investigation. At this point, police officers from a local police agency arrived. Deputy (2) and the officers struggled with the passenger at the rear of the patrol car. Deputy (2) and the officers took the passenger to the ground, struggled with him there, and then handcuffed him. Meanwhile, Deputy (1) took the driver to the ground. After the passenger was cuffed, he was lifted off the ground by officers as captured on videotape. The officers of the local police agency are alleged to have slammed the passenger into the trunk of the car and punched him.	Investigation: Adequate. OIR interacted frequently with the responsible IAB investigators to ensure that all allegations that had been made were addressed by the investigation. Charges: Appropriate after OIR input. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence Case presented to Executive Force Review on 03/06/03.	Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards for inadequate observations of events. Deputy (2): Unresolved for Performance to Standards.	Deputy (1): 10 day suspension. Deputy (2): N/A		Criminal charges are pending against two of the officers from the local police agency. The two deputies have not been charged. A civil lawsuit was filed.
Deputy fired several shots at suspects who were inside a car. The driver was allegedly attempting to run the deputy over, and the passenger allegedly brandished a gun. Suspects later abandoned their stolen vehicle and were not apprehended.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Committee determined that no further action was necessary, and OIR concurred.	N/A	N/A		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Subject (Security Officer) applied for position of Custody Assistant. During that application process, Subject gave answers inconsistent with those on his Security Officer application. It is alleged that Subject falsified his pre-investigation questionnaire by omitting his past narcotic use (one use of marijuana and one of cocaine) and understating the number of times he paid for the services of a prostitute (in Tijuana and Nevada). Subject also paid for sexual relations with a stripper (prostitution) while an employee.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate after discussion between unit and OIR. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for False Statements, False Information in Records, & Immoral Conduct</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Settled at Skelly hearing with reinstatement and other details being finalized. OIR disagrees with this settlement.²</p>	
<p>The Deputies were called to the location because the suspect was acting bizarrely and was assaulting motorists in their cars. Deputy (1) was the first deputy to the scene and detained the suspect at gunpoint. Deputies (2) & (3) responded to assist, also drawing their guns. The suspect ran at Deputy (1) and all three deputies fired believing that the suspect was trying to take Deputy (1)'s gun. Suspect was killed.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough. At Executive Force Review, panel requested IAB investigation with OIR concurrence. Additional areas were addressed during the IAB investigation as requested by OIR. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards. Unresolved for Use of Firearms & Deadly Force. Deputies (2) & (3): Unresolved for Performance to Standards and Use of Firearms & Deadly Force.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 days Deputies (2) & (3): N/A</p>	<p>Letter of Imposition. Deputy (1) pursuing grievance. Deputy (2): Pursued grievance and changed to unresolved.</p>	<p>D.A. declined prosecution on basis that the deputies' expressed fear of immediate life threatening danger to Deputy (1) was reasonable.</p>

²

Since this case, OIR and LASD have formulated a new protocol that will ensure OIR consultation in similar future cases.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Inmate surrendered himself into LASD custody and, during his medical screening, inmate reported a history of diabetes, hypertension and high cholesterol and taking certain medications for these conditions. Subject (2), a nurse, conducted the initial medical screening and triage of inmate and failed to note properly inmate's age and eligibility for LASD's mandated enhanced medical screening and to list one of the medications inmate was taking.</p> <p>Subject (1), a doctor, reviewed inmate's medical file but did not examine inmate. Subject (1) failed to review the complete medical file, but relied upon the information from one of the documents completed by Subject (2) and contained in inmate's medical file. Subject (1) never ordered the enhanced medical screening or unreported medication for inmate. Subject (1) ordered the other required medications for inmate and blood pressure and blood sugar checks for inmate for five consecutive days.</p> <p>On the first day of the "five consecutive days" period. Subject (3), a nurse, gave inmate his prescribed medication; however, Subject (3) failed to check inmate's blood pressure as ordered by Subject (1). On that first day, while mopping the floor in his housing area, inmate suffered cardiac arrest and died.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. The witness interviews could have been more thoroughly conducted. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Subject (1): Founded as to performance to standards; obedience to laws, regulations and orders; and safeguarding persons in custody.</p> <p>Subject (2): Founded as to performance to standards; obedience to laws, regulations and orders; and safeguarding persons in custody.</p> <p>Subject (3): Founded as to performance to standards and safeguarding persons in custody.</p>	<p>Subject (1): 10 days suspension</p> <p>Subject (2): 5 days suspension</p> <p>Subject (3): 1 day suspension</p>	<p>Subject (1): Letter of imposition issued. Pending imposition of discipline.</p> <p>Subject (2): Pending imposition of discipline.</p> <p>Subject (3): Pending imposition of discipline.</p>	
<p>Suspect led police from a different agency on a lengthy vehicle pursuit, which LASD monitored. Pursuit ended in LASD's patrol area and one LASD deputy joined other officers in shooting at resistant suspect whom they believed to be reaching for a weapon. Suspect was injured but survived.</p>	<p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 03/13/03. Committee recommended a formal administrative investigation based on pursuit policy issues. OIR concurred. Investigation pending.</p>				<p>Rejected by D.A.'s office based on self-defense.</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Deputy (1) engaged in a car pursuit of persons who may have fired shots earlier in the night or may have been involved in a double homicide. During the car pursuit, the driver of the civilian car may have driven his car at a deputy. At the conclusion of the car pursuit, the driver got out of his car and Deputy (1) believed the driver to be reaching for his waistband. Deputy (1) fired thirteen rounds from his service handgun and hit the driver once and the right rear passenger twice. Deputy (2) arrived at the conclusion of the car pursuit, saw the shattered rear window of the civilian's car and fired four rounds from his service handgun at the right front passenger whom Deputy (2) believed to be firing at deputies. The driver of the civilian car was not armed.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. There are substantial concerns regarding the manner of subject interviews and the delay in completing the investigation; however, these concerns do not adversely affect the final analysis of the case.</p> <p>Case was presented to the Executive Force Review Committee on 02/13/03. Panel found Use of Force within policy. OIR concurred.</p>				<p>D.A. concluded that Subjects (1) and (2) acted lawfully in self-defense and defense of others and, based on that conclusion, D.A. declined to file criminal charges. 03/18/02</p>
<p>Vehicle pursuit involving a suspected stolen car turned into foot pursuit. While partner returned to the radio car, one deputy trailed the suspect and saw him attempt to carjack a passing vehicle. Deputy grabbed the suspect, who drew a gun. Deputy killed suspect in the ensuing struggle.</p>	<p>Case presented at Executive Force Review on 02/27/03. Panel ordered that an IAB investigation be opened regarding the circumstances of the foot pursuit. OIR concurred. Case still pending.</p>				
<p>Subject (Reserve Deputy) was a guest lecturer for a Reserve Academy class. During the class session, Subject is alleged to have treated the recruits in a manner that was disrespectful, demeaning, humiliating and potentially dangerous. This conduct included requiring the recruits to perform "knuckle push-ups" as a discipline for failure to answer questions correctly, choking one recruit for failure to answer a question correctly and striking another recruit in the face.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Conduct Toward Others</p>	<p>Written Reprimand and deputy will not teach academy classes again.³</p>		<p>The alleged striking of the recruit's face was investigated by ICIB, revealing the other conduct, and referred to the D.A. The D.A. declined to file criminal charges. The recruit who was struck has filed a lawsuit.</p>

³

Discipline options for reserve officers are limited because they are unpaid and therefore cannot be suspended without pay.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Deputy (1) allegedly coerced suspect into signing a consent to search waiver after deputies illegally searched the location. Deputy (2) allegedly falsely documented the events in the arrest report, at the direction of Deputy (1). Both Deputies (1) and (2) then testified regarding the events repeating the alleged falsities.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after some discussion. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Performance to Standards, Duties of Deputies, Reporting Information, Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, False Statements, False Information in Records and False Statements in a Departmental investigation. Unresolved for Warrantless Arrest in Dwellings.</p> <p>Deputy (2) Founded for Performance to Standards, Duties of Deputies, and False Statements in a Departmental investigation. Unfounded for False Statements in Records and Performance to Standards relating to courtroom testimony.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Discharge</p> <p>Deputy (2): 15 days</p>	<p>Letter of Intent</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file charges because believed criminal conduct could not be proven beyond a reasonable doubt and other provable conduct was not criminal.</p>
<p>Subject is alleged to have placed his hand over his 3-year old daughter's mouth, causing asphyxia, but no serious injury. Subject pled no contest to misdemeanor corporal injury to a child.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>Founded as to Family Violence and General Behavior</p>	<p>Discharge</p>	<p>Pending Skelly</p>	<p>Criminal conviction</p>
<p>Deputy who arrested two drug suspects at a motel may have reported and testified inaccurately as to the sequence of observations, whether the door to a room was open or closed prior to a search, and whose name appeared on the room registration.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate⁴ Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Findings: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Unresolved</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

⁴ OIR recommended that this case cannot be resolved due to fundamental problems of proof related to the search and arrest incident. However, OIR has recommended that LASD open a second investigation concerning subject's veracity in testifying in court as to narcotics expertise.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Deputy (1) took the complainant, a trustee, to a location on county property, kissed the complainant and requested the complainant perform oral sex on Deputy (1). Deputy (1) with or without the complainant engaged in sexual activity on county property.</p> <p>On several occasions, Deputy (2) tried to kiss the complainant and engaged in inappropriate touching of the complainant's body.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded as to general behavior, fraternization, and/or performance to duty; obedience to laws, regulations and orders; failure to make statements and/or making false statements during LASD internal investigations; and general behavior, performance to duty, and/or performance to standards.</p> <p>Deputy (2): Unresolved as to all charges.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Discharge</p> <p>Deputy (2): N/A</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Discharge approved at case review. Letter of imposition issued.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to prosecute either deputy on the basis that there was insufficient evidence to corroborate the complainant's allegations.</p>
<p>Subject allegedly became upset with inmate, removed him from cell, threw him against cell bars causing lump on inmate's head.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Performance to Standards and Obedience to Laws</p>	<p>15 day suspension</p>	<p>Imposed</p>	
<p>While on-duty, civilian employee sent e-mail messages and images which were defamatory, sexual and/or derogatory in nature. Employee sent these messages via LASD's Data Network for personal, social and/or unofficial purposes.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to Use of Communications Equipment, Unprofessional Use of County Communications & Network Systems, and Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders.</p>	<p>5 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of Intent issued.</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Deputies tackled an inmate who was running toward a group of inmates, possibly to attack them and used excessive force to subdue him and may have struck him after he was handcuffed. One deputy coerced the inmate into signing a false statement about the injuries. Another deputy threatened witness inmates if they cooperated with investigators.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p> <p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee final disposition on 04/10/03.</p>	<p>Deputies (1), (2) & (6) unresolved for Force and Failure to Report Force. Deputy (3) Unfounded as to Force, Founded for Failure to Report Force. Deputy (4) unfounded for Force, unresolved for Failure to Report Force, founded for Obstruction of an Investigation. Deputy (5) unresolved for Withholding Medical Attention.</p>	<p>N/A for deputies (1), (2), (5) & (6). 5 day suspension for Deputy (3). Discharge for Deputy (4).</p>	<p>Pending imposition</p>	
<p>Deputy (1) responded to a “911 hang up” call. Upon his arrival, he heard a woman screaming for help. He knocked on the door several times and was told to leave by a male, while the woman was still yelling for help. He attempted to force entry into the apartment. Assisting Deputy (2) arrived and was finally able to kick open the front door. Deputies saw a male standing in the living room holding a shotgun pointed at them. Deputy (1) was standing behind Deputy (2) and was able to back out of the suspect’s line of fire. Deputy (2) fired one shot and then followed Deputy (1). The shot missed the suspect.</p>	<p>Case presented to Executive Force Review on 03/13/03. Panel found use of force to be within policy. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Four deputies forcibly removed an inmate from cell without proper authorization. Inmate cut one of them in the ensuing struggle. One deputy reported falsely about the incident.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after input from OIR and Executive Force Review Committee panel. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p> <p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/08/03 for disposition.</p>	<p>Deputy (1) founded for Failure to Report Use of Force. Deputy (2) founded for General Behavior. Deputy (3) unfounded. Deputy (4) unfounded.</p>	<p>15 day suspension for Deputy (1). 5 day suspension for Deputy (2). N/A for Deputy (3). N/A for Deputy (4).</p>	<p>Pending approval by Chief.</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Due to confusion over inmate names, a nurse gave an inmate an incorrect injection (insulin rather than anticlotting medication). The nurse told a second nurse about the mistake and asked that nurse not tell anyone. Neither nurse notified a supervisor about the incident until confronted three days later.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Both nurses founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody, Performance to Standards, and Failure to Report.</p> <p>Nurse (1) also founded for False Statements.</p>	<p>Nurse (1): 15 days</p> <p>Nurse (2): 10 days</p>	<p>Pending imposition</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file falsification of medical records, 08/13/02.</p>
<p>Deputy (1) allegedly used force and failed to report it, failed to properly secure the arrestee in patrol car with a seat belt, failed to secure the arrestee while the deputy responded to an emergent call for assistance, and kned the arrestee in head while the arrestee was handcuffed without provocation. Deputy (2) allegedly failed to properly secure the arrestee in his patrol car with a seat belt, failed to secure the arrestee while the deputy responded to an emergent call for assistance and failed to report force used by Deputy (2).</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate after extensive discussion with Advocacy, Unit and Division Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Founded for Force, False Statements, Safeguarding Persons in Custody, Reporting Force.</p> <p>Deputy (2): Founded for Safeguarding Persons in Custody</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Discharge</p> <p>Deputy (2): Written Reprimand</p>	<p>Settlement with Deputy (1) to 30 day suspension on founded charge for Safeguarding Persons in Custody and Performance to Standards. Deputy to be re-assigned.</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file charges.</p>
<p>Subject Deputy yelled and cursed at deputy trainee because of his status as a trainee, made discriminatory traffic stops based on race, patrolled outside his assigned area, and drove at excessively high rates of speed.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. Significant delays occurred in the investigation; however, these delays did not adversely affect the integrity of the investigation. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unresolved as to Conduct Towards Others, Derogatory Language, Performance to Standards and/or Operations of Vehicles. Unresolved as to Hazing.</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Deputy arrested suspect for providing alcohol to minors and transported Suspect to Station for booking. Once at the station and inside the booking cell area, Deputy unhandcuffed the suspect and began to search him. Suspect quickly spun toward Deputy who used a control hold on Suspect's left arm, taking him to the floor. Later, Suspect complained of pain to his left arm. He was taken to Medical Center and treated for a fracture. The force incident was captured on the jail video tape, which corroborated deputy's statement.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate</p> <p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 03/13/03. Panel concluded force within policy and fracture unintentional. Commended station for implementing special training to address a cluster of recent force incidents resulting in fractures.</p> <p>OIR concurred.</p>				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Subject was arrested for Spousal Assault against husband. Husband stated he and the Subject had been married for three months during which the Subject assaulted him on numerous occasions. He said on this morning, he confronted the Subject about his belief she was having an affair with another Department member and asked to see her cellular telephone in order to help him verify his suspicions. Husband said the Subject struck him with the phone on the side of the head then asked him if he wanted to “see it again.” The strike left a welt and bruise on his left eye.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Family Violence and General Behavior</p>	<p>15 days suspension</p>		<p>Rejected by D.A. due to insufficient evidence.</p>
<p>Subject Deputy told Department personnel that he had permission from Subject Sergeant to take ten life vests, which were County property. After receiving the vests, Subject Deputy sold three vests separately on e-Bay. Pursuant to Subject Sergeant’s request, Subject Deputy removed a fourth vest from e-Bay and returned the remaining seven vests to the County. Subject Deputy never asked for, or received, permission to take the life vests for his personal use. Subject Sergeant failed to document properly the serial numbers of the life vests and such inaction created, in part, an opportunity for the loss of three life vests.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR requested additional witness interviews. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence regarding Subject Deputy; LASD concurrence regarding Subject Sergeant.</p>	<p>Founded as to Subject Deputy for (1) General Behavior, (2) Care of County Property and Equipment and/or Misappropriation of County Property, and (3) False Statements and/or Making False Statements During Departmental Internal Investigation.</p>	<p>Subject Deputy: Discharge Subject Sergeant: 3 days suspension</p>	<p>Letters of Intent on 06/06/03</p>	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>It is alleged that Subject Deputy (1) has had multiple contacts with a local police department. The first occurred when the police responded to the residence of his girlfriend in regard to a possible domestic violence/911 hang up. Upon arrival, both were less than forthright with officers about circumstances surrounding the call.</p> <p>The second contact occurred when Subject Deputy (1) was cited for failing to stop at a red light. Later that date, officers responded to the girlfriend's residence regarding a "vehicle racing" call. The Deputy was cited for racing with a motorcycle. It is alleged he was "verbally abusive" towards officers. Subject Custody Assistant was alleged to have interfered with officers who were questioning the Subject.</p> <p>The third incident occurred when an anonymous call was made to the police department alleging loud music at the girlfriend's residence. After numerous attempts, officers were unable to make contacts with any residents. A truck registered to the Deputy was cited for violation of parking in a front yard.</p>	<p>Investigation: Inadequate due to failure to complete investigation within one year statute of limitations. The investigator inaccurately calculated the statute of limitations date.⁵</p>	<p>Case inactivated</p>	<p>None</p>		
<p>While on-duty and in a department meeting with a supervisor, Subject Deputy became upset and shouted profanities.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded as to General Behavior, Conduct Towards Others and/or Derogatory Language</p>	<p>5 days suspension</p>		
<p>Deputy attempted to install program onto former girlfriend/fellow employee's office computer in order to surreptitiously record keystrokes on that computer. Attempt failed or withdrawn by subject.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate after OIR requested supplemental interview of victim to help determine whether pattern of similar behavior. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Tampering with Computers, General Behavior and Obedience to Laws</p>	<p>Demotion to non-bonus position and transfer</p>	<p>Letter of imposition dated 05/16/03</p>	

⁵ Currently, OIR is discussing with IAB mechanisms to help ensure that this does not happen again, including drafting a policy that would require the investigator to consult with supervisors and Advocacy regarding the correct one year date and advise OIR of that date well before the date passes.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
In the course of investigating a use of force by deputies, investigators violated 5 th and 6 th Amendment rights of those deputies and Department policy by asking questions about their police reports after the deputies had stated that they had been advised by counsel not to discuss the matter.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence ⁶ Discipline: N/A	Unresolved for both	N/A		
During the implementation of the JHIS system, two supervisors responsible for managing the contract did not comply with the appropriate approval mechanisms.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Performance to Standards for both.	Written Reprimand for both.		
This investigation pertains to an on-duty incident where a deputy was allegedly notified of inappropriate conduct between a custody assistant and a female inmate, but failed to notify a Department supervisor of this information. A female inmate who witnessed the inappropriate conduct between the custody assistant and the inmate and who had notified the deputy, brought this information to the attention of another deputy who then notified a Department supervisor.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Pending review by Captain.				
Deputy used LASD computer to obtain confidential information about an acquaintance. This information fell into the possession of a third party under disputed circumstances.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: OIR recommended that a “false statement” charge be added to reflect concerns about Subject’s credibility. Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for inappropriate access to and failure to safeguard confidential information. Unresolved as to false statements.	15 days		Rejected by D.A. based on statute of limitations issues, June 2002.

⁶ In response to this case and an unrelated pending civil suit, OIR worked with LASD to draft and promulgate a new written policy guiding investigator questioning of LASD employees.

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Subject (1) and Subject (2) detained Suspect in the back seat of their radio car, unhandcuffed. A record check revealed two warrants for Suspect. Subject (2) opened the right rear door of the radio car and told Suspect to shift around in the seat in order to be handcuffed. When Suspect did not comply, Subject (1) opened the left rear door of the radio car and ordered Suspect to turn around. Subject (1) saw Suspect attempting to flee the radio car. He began to run around the back of the radio car to assist Subject (2). Subject (1) did not close the left rear door of the vehicle prior to running to assist his partner. Suspect then escaped from the door left open by Subject (1). A minimal amount of force was then needed to subdue Suspect.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Executive Force Review Committee on 03/13/03 concluded force was within policy and Subject (1) leaving car door open allowing suspect to flee was also within policy. OIR agreed with conclusion that force was within policy, but recommended that Subject (1)'s mistake should result in a formal IA investigation regarding Performance to Standards. One executive agreed with OIR's recommendation, but two other executives disagreed. No further investigation.</p>				
<p>It is alleged that Deputies (1) and (2) made illegal entry and search of suspect's residence. Deputy (1) located narcotics then allegedly coerced suspect into signing a consent to search form by choking him with his baton. It is further alleged that the deputies filed a false police report documenting the incident and gave untruthful testimony during court proceedings. The arrest resulted from a narcotics investigation in the City of Hawthorne, based on an anonymous informant.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Adequate after OIR input Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputies (1) & (2) founded for Obedience to Laws, Reporting Information, Performance to Standards, Vice/Narcotics Duties, and Use of Informants. Unresolved for False Statements and False Information in Records.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): 15 days suspension Deputy (2): 8 days suspension</p>	<p>Letter of Intent Grievance on-doing</p>	<p>D.A. declined to file criminal charges.</p>
<p>Deputies (1) and (2) responded to a "Fire/Man with a knife call." They spoke with several victims who told them they had been chemically burned by a male suspect who had thrown an unknown chemical substance at them which had ignited. As they spoke to one of the burn victims, they saw a male covered in blood being chased by the suspect who had a knife in his right hand raised above his head, and was holding a glass vial in his left hand. The suspect ran directly toward the deputies. The deputies fired several rounds at the suspect fearing that they would be stabbed or chemically burned. The rounds struck the suspect, fatally wounding him.</p>	<p>Executive Force Review Committee found no policy issues with regard to shooting. OIR concurred.</p>				<p>D.A. reject</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>It is alleged that the Subject pushed his wife against a wall during an argument. According to the police report, subject's wife told police officers she lightly struck her head as a result. In fear for her safety, she ran out of the bathroom and telephoned 911 for help. The officers did not observe any injuries on subject's wife nor did she complain of any pain or injury in regards to the incident. Wife recanted to IAB investigator and Subject denied assaulting wife.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Pending review by Captain.</p>				
<p>A female said that while attending an off-training party at Nat's Restaurant, Deputy squeezed her breast with his hand. She said the grab was both unprovoked and unwanted.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate. OIR requested additional attempts be made to contact individuals who were present, but they were unavailable. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	Unresolved	N/A		
<p>In an off-duty incident, Subject Deputy grabbed his estranged wife, the complainant, by her wrist and pushed her in the upper chest area with his forearm. The incident occurred when the complainant arrived at Deputy's home unannounced.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	Unresolved as to Family Violence and/or Domestic Violence	N/A		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>A female told investigators she was sexually assaulted by deputy in his personal vehicle. The assault took place when the victim accepted a ride after having consumed too many alcoholic beverages. The victim stated while driving with deputy, she became dizzy and passed out. While parked at an unknown location, the victim vaguely recalled awakening to deputy massaging her breasts with his hands. The victim resisted by telling deputy “no”; however, he continued his assault. The victim does not recall anything else as she claims to have passed out again.</p> <p>A second female told investigators she was raped by deputy. The victim and a friend, along with deputy, had gone to the home of another mutual friend after an evening out. At the home, they continued to consume alcohol. Because the victim became intoxicated, she decided to stay the night. The victim recalled semi-waking at one point in the morning and feeling deputy rubbing her arms. She next recalled deputy taking off her pants and underwear and felt deputy place his penis inside her vagina. She attempted to push him off her but she was not strong enough. She told deputy to stop.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Adequate after OIR suggestions. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: N/A</p>	<p>Unresolved</p>	<p>N/A</p>		<p>D.A. declined to prosecute, victims non-cooperative</p>
<p>Deputy investigated a theft, then submitted a “Void URN” form to cancel a file number deputy had generated. The form indicated the file number was to be canceled because the informant was not desirous of prosecution of a shoplifter. Watch Sergeant later determined that informant store manager was indeed desirous of prosecution.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Founded for Disobedience to Rules, Performance Below Standards and False Statements.</p>	<p>15 day suspension</p>	<p>Pending imposition</p>	
<p>Deputies, under supervision of Sergeant, conducting surveillance of hotel that has been target of serial robbers. Suspects appear. When arrest order is given, deputy attempts to block getaway car with LASD vehicle and effect arrest of driver. Deputy shoots at driver when driver attempted to run him over in process of making getaway. Suspect was not wounded.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review panel on 03/27/03. Panel found no policy violations. OIR concurred but raised tactical problems of arrest plan. However, no training relevant because task force no longer exists.</p>	<p>N/A</p>			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Inmate attacked Deputy without warning by punching him in the face. Deputy reacted to the assault by striking Suspect in the head with a prawl key block which he had in his hand at the time of the assault, causing head laceration.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review on 03/27/03. Deputy's defensive reaction deemed reasonable by panel. OIR concurred.	N/A			
SEB personnel were conducting a search warrant in Compton. During the service of the search warrant, Suspect jumped off a fence and landed on an Omni light-sound diversionary device that was deployed by Deputy. Suspect was injured in the process.	Case presented at Executive Force Review on 03/27/03. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.	N/A			
It is alleged that Deputies (1) and (2) made a suspect sign a consent-to-search form after they arrested her for possession of a controlled substance (Methamphetamine) and telling her that the form was a booking form.	Investigation: Inadequate. Investigator may have not completed investigation within statute of limitations period. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to Performance to standards and General Behavior	15 days	Pending grievance with Captain. Subject is currently IOD.	
It is alleged that Subject used force while conducting a gun take away procedure and did not report it. It is further alleged that during the contact, Subject was verbally abusive to the person with the gun in the presence of both sworn and civilian personnel. It is also alleged that Subject failed to properly book into evidence the BB gun seized.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Conduct Towards Others and Evidence Handling. Unfounded for Failure to Report Force	3 day suspension	Letter of Intent	
An inmate stopped and crouched down on the ground and refused to comply with numerous orders to continue walking. Two deputies applied O.C. spray when the inmate refused to be handcuffed. During the physical altercation between the deputies and the inmate, one deputy kned the inmate in the ribs, possibly breaking the bone.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 03/27/03. Panel concludes use of force is reasonable in context (extremely large inmate). OIR concurred.				
Deputy allegedly displayed his duty weapon in a threatening manner during an off-duty dispute.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Founded for "General Behavior"	5 day suspension		
Suspect fled when deputy questioned and attempted to detain him. Deputies set up containment. After suspect shot at them from roof top, Deputies returned fire, killing suspect.	Pending Executive Force Review				D.A. reject

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputies tried to question driver and two passengers. Driver struck deputy, started car and fled. Driver crashed into a fence then pointed automatic handgun at arriving deputies. One deputy fired, wounding suspect in leg.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/08/03. Panel questioned tactics prior to use of force; prescribed focused training. No further investigation. OIR concurred.				D.A. Reject 9/9/02
After attempting to effect a traffic stop and at the conclusion of a car pursuit, the subjects shot several rounds at a man who displayed a firearm and pointed it at his estranged wife, her father and deputies. The man died as a result of the deputies' gunfire. One of the subjects also intentionally shot the wife with a stun bag in order to take her out of the line of gunfire. The wife sustained injury from the stun bag.	Pending Executive Force Review				D.A. reject
While deputies detained two home invasion robbery suspects, a third suspect drove up and pointed a gun at them. Deputies shot and killed third suspect.	Pending Executive Force Review				D.A. reject
Fleeing suspect abandoned car on an overpass. Foot pursuit by one deputy circled back to waiting deputy. Suspect pointed gun at second deputy. Deputy fired at suspect, wounding him. Suspect then shot himself in the head, fatally.	Pending IAB investigation				D.A. reject, April 2003.
Deputies made eye contact with three youths on a bicycle near a middle school at about midnight. When the youths saw the deputies, the youths turned into school property and sped away from the deputies. As the youths entered the parking lot, one of them pulled out a dark handgun. In their patrol car, the deputies chased the youths. At some point, the armed youth dropped his bicycle and tried to flee on foot. The armed youth turned and pointed the handgun at the deputies. The deputies fired ten rounds at the youth and two rounds struck him in the left leg and one round in the left shoulder.	Case presented at Executive Force Review on 06/26/03. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		D.A. reject

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputies responded to location where suspect retrieved a steel bar and shattered front window. Believing the suspect would harm the informants, they followed suspect inside location. One deputy fought with suspect. The suspect attempted to take deputy's gun. Deputy shot at suspect, missing him. Assisting deputies arrived and tased suspect four times. Suspect was also shot four times with stun bag.	Investigation: Adequate Pending Executive Force Review				
Deputy responded to "man with a gun" call. Suspect pulled gun from his waist. Deputy fired at suspect, wounding him.	Investigation: Adequate Pending D.A. Review.				
Deputy involved shooting. Suspect moved toward deputy with knife. Suspect deceased.	Pending Executive Force Review				D.A. reject
Deputies fire as suspect aggressively backs out of driveway and flees. Minor wound. See also OIR #020012.	Pending executive force review				Pending D.A. opinion
Suspect fired on deputies during pursuit. Deputies return fire, disabling vehicle. Suspect surrendered with no injuries.	Case presented to Executive Force Review on 05/08/03. Panel found Use of Force to be within policy. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		
Two deputies saw a man riding his bicycle on a street. At some point, the man dropped his bicycle and ran away from deputies and deputies pursued on foot. During the foot pursuit, the man turned and pointed a handgun at one of the deputies and fired two rounds. The deputies fired six rounds. The deputies lost sight of the man, who was later captured in a nearby house by the owner. Near the garage of the house, assisting deputies recovered an empty .380 caliber semi-automatic chrome-plated handgun. From the man's front pants' pocket, the homeowner retrieved a gun holster into which the .380 caliber handgun fits.	Case presented at Executive Force Review on 06/12/03. Panel concluded no further investigation necessary. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		
Deputies approached two teenagers, one of whom bolted and drew a gun during subsequent foot pursuit. One deputy fired several rounds.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review on 05/22/03. Panel concluded no further investigation needed. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
In response to a call of gang members loitering, deputies encountered a man carrying a handgun in his right hand. As the armed man ran, he fired one round from his gun. Subject deputy pointed his weapon at the man and ordered him to stop. As the man reached towards his waistband, subject deputy fired two rounds. Both rounds missed the man whom deputies took into custody without further incident. Near the location from where the deputies heard the initial gunshot, they recovered a .38 caliber revolver.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Deputy believed suspect in vehicle, who was being fired at by unknown persons, was about to fire at him. Deputy discharged one round, missing suspect.	Pending Executive Force Review				
A deputy attempted to stop a suspect who was walking in a residential neighborhood. The suspect shot at the deputy, who returned fire. The suspect fled and a containment was established. Approximately two-and-a-half hours later, the suspect was observed by a SEB deputy and again opened fire. The deputy, along with other SEB and deputies, returned fire, striking the suspect several times.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review on 05/22/03. No policy violations. OIR concurred.				D.A. reject 06/26/03
Suspect is shot after raising rifle during traffic stop in Motel parking lot and fighting with deputies.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review on 09/26/02. Panel concluded IAB investigation needed regarding supervisor's apparent failure to plan or supervise operation. Re-hearing resulted in founded charges.	Founded as to Performance to Standards	Written reprimand. OIR concurred.		D.A. reject on 10/30/02
Deputies stopped stolen vehicle. Suspect exits vehicle pointing gun at deputies. Deputies fire at suspect, killing him.	Pending Homicide referral to D.A.				
Deputies respond to "burglary now" call, get into struggle with aggressive suspect who reaches repeatedly for deputies' gun. Fatally shot by deputy.	Case presented to Executive Force Review on 05/22/03. Panel concluded that deputies' force was appropriate. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		Pending D.A. opinion
Suspect fires at deputies through residence door, killing one deputy. Second deputy returns fire, hitting suspect who dies of self-inflicted head wound.	Pending D.A. Review and Executive Force Review.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputy chased bicycle violation suspect into back yard. Suspect fires at deputy, missing him. Deputy returns fire, striking suspect in the left leg.	Pending D.A. review and Executive Force Review.				
Vehicle stopped for traffic violation. Suspect flees on foot. During pursuit of suspect, suspect aims gun at deputies. Deputy fires, striking suspect once and killing him.					Pending D.A. letter of opinion
Stabbing suspect pursued from crime scene by deputies, drives into business parking lot, stops and fires assault rifle at deputies, who take cover and return fire, killing suspect.	Pending D.A. evaluation				
Deputies observed car jacking. Two suspects noticed deputies and ran. Deputies followed suspects to alley where suspects took out weapons and fired at patrol car. Both deputies jumped out and returned fire, killing both suspects.	Pending D.A. evaluation				
Subject was allegedly involved in acquisition and use of stolen credit cards.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded as to General Behavior and Obedience to Laws	Discharge	Pending letter of intent	
Deputies contacted two suspicious males exiting an alley. After noticing a bulge in the pocket of one of the suspects, deputy attempted to conduct a pat down search for weapons, but the suspect fled. A foot pursuit was initiated. As the deputy was chasing the suspect, the suspect turned and pointed a handgun at the deputy. Deputy fired three rounds at the suspect before losing sight of the suspect.	Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/22/03. Panel found that the shooting did not violate policy, but agreed with OIR that tactics merited further attention from the unit. Panel instructed unit commander to brief subject.	N/A	N/A		
While conducting demographic transfers, inmate refused to enter a cell and began arguing with Deputy, demanding to be sent to a disciplinary module. Inmate refused to move or follow any directives. Deputy warned, then used O.C. spray and handcuffed inmate to bench outside of cell. Inmate alleged a knee injury was caused by deputy's rough handling. Deputy denied touching knee. Deputy and Custody Assistant saw inmate banging knee against a metal door.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/08/03. Panel found no provable policy violations. OIR concurred.	N/A	N/A		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Arrestee was involved in a hit and run traffic collision. After colliding with two parked cars, he ran a red light and broadsided an SUV. Arrestee fled the scene in his vehicle, but parked it several blocks from the collision site and continued to flee on foot. Deputies located arrestee as he was attempting to enter a residence. He violently resisted being handcuffed by punching, kicking and attempting to bite deputies.</p>	<p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/08/03. Panel determined that deputy's actions were appropriate, as confirmed by two civilian witnesses. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>Deputy witnessed a shooting involving known gang members. The suspects fled in a vehicle and drove past the deputy. The passenger suspect, who was seen to have a handgun, raised the weapon and pointed it out the vehicle window in the direction of the deputy. Fearing for his safety, the deputy fired one round at the suspect, missing him. Deputy and his partner followed the suspect vehicle from the location. Deputies were able to stop the suspect vehicle and apprehend the suspects.</p>	<p>Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/22/03. Panel determined that deputy's actions were appropriate. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		
<p>It is alleged that during an argument, Subject civilian employee pointed a handgun at his neighbor. Neighbor alleges that after he and a friend pushed his vehicle across the street, Subject, who was standing in his own yard, yelled at his neighbor that he was going to kill him and pointed a handgun at him.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Case pending review by Captain.</p>				<p>City Attorney declined prosecution.</p>
<p>Deputies were advised of a possible murder suspect that was living in the area. Deputies located the suspect's vehicle. Surveillance was then begun on the location. The suspect then attempted to leave the location. Deputies began following the suspect. Air unit arrived just as the suspect entered the westbound 10 freeway. The suspect fired numerous rounds at the pursuing deputies. The suspect entered the 710 Freeway, while still shooting at Deputies. Deputy (A) returned fire. The pursuit continued through residential and commercial areas. During this time the suspect fired at law enforcement he encountered. The suspect eventually stopped in front of his mother's residence. SEB shot the suspect's vehicle tires, disabling his vehicle. The suspect finally surrendered after a lengthy standoff. No one was injured.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 05/08/03. Panel concluded use of force was in policy and pursuit justified by dangerous actions of suspect. Panel recommended that SEB brief its members on effective use of police frequencies. OIR concurrence.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputy responding to an assistance call from other deputies who were in foot pursuit of suspect spotted two juveniles running. Deputy followed one of them with patrol car, which struck and caused juvenile to fall and injure his arm and hand. Juvenile also alleged that deputy kicked him in the side while handcuffing him.	Investigation: N/A (investigated prior to OIR oversight) Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: LASD consulted OIR during grievance process. OIR recommended that original discipline level (30 days) stand. LASD concurred.	Founded for Force, Obedience to Laws and False Statements	30 days suspension	Grievance 03/26/03. Imposed 01/05/03.	
Team of deputies responded to the home of a possibly suicidal man. They eventually took him into custody for his own safety. The man suffered two broken fingers at some point in the incident.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 6/12/03. Panel determined deputies' actions were appropriate. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		
Subject Deputy detained two men regarding a "vandalism now" call. A sergeant responded to subject deputy's request for back-up and a taser. Upon the sergeant's arrival, Subject Deputy spoke briefly to the men and released them without obtaining any identification. Subject Deputy then lied to the sergeant, saying he had obtained the men's identification.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate (Performance to Standards and False Statements) Findings: Pending unit review Result: Pending unit review	Pending unit review			
It was alleged that Subject (1) forged the signature of a D.A. on the affidavit of a misdemeanor filing. Subject (2) accompanied Subject (1) on that day.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Subject (1): Founded as to General Behavior and Performance to Standards. Subject (2): Unfounded on each charge.	Subject (1): Discharge	Case pending Chief's review of post-Skelly further investigation.	D.A. reject
It is alleged that Deputy (1) struck a male adult in the face then failed to report the use of force. Deputy (2) also failed to report the use of force. Both deputies also failed to log the contact.	Investigation: The investigation was unnecessarily delayed, resulting in inability to interview witness who provided videotape. Because Department had videotape, prejudice is likely minimal. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: LASD concurrence	Deputy (1): Founded for Unreasonable Force, Reporting Force, Performance to Standards, Obedience to Laws, False Statements. Deputy (2): Founded for Performance to Standards	Deputy (1): 30 days suspension Deputy (2): 3 days suspension	Letter of Intent	D.A. Reject

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Detectives served a search warrant for property stolen during a burglary. The suspect ran while deputies were attempting to handcuff him. The suspect was located hiding under a parked truck. The suspect then attempted to flee again and a struggle ensued, during which the Subject struck the suspect in the head with his right hand. He sustained a laceration to his scalp.	Investigation: Adequate Case presented to Executive Force Review Committee on 6/12/03. Panel determined that deputy's actions were appropriate. OIR concurrence.	N/A	N/A		
Deputy reported a missing automatic rifle. LASD conducted a criminal investigation as to possible theft and then opened an administrative case against subject for possible negligence and failure to report.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: Pending				ICIB investigation did not result in identification of suspect.
Subjects supervisor and deputy were in the midst of divorce proceedings when they had an off-duty dispute to which police responded. Both accused the other of family violence.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Subject Supervisor retired prior to resolution. Charges unresolved as to deputy.	None N/A		D.A. reject as to both.
Off-duty collision by deputy with another motorist who attempted to make a left turn in front of him without yielding resulted in a fatality. Deputy allegedly behaved improperly when he identified himself as a Deputy Sheriff to several witnesses. Subsequent investigation determined Deputy's speed prior to the collision to be 70 miles per hour. Based on this information, Deputy was determined to be the party most at fault.	Investigation on-going.				Deputy pled nolo contendere to misdemeanor vehicular manslaughter.
Subject Deputy arrested a man and then failed to search properly the arrestee's property. Upon arrestee's transfer to an LASD custody facility, deputies found drugs, drug paraphernalia, money and a lighter in the arrestee's bulk property.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate (Performance to Standards and Property Handling at Time of Station Booking) Findings: Pending unit review Result: Pending unit review	Pending unit review			

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Female alleges she was raped by Deputy, her ex-boyfriend in his home.	Investigation: Adequate Pending determination of charges.				LAPD conducted investigation. The D.A. declined to file criminal charges due to the fact that there was insufficient evidence and the victim refused to testify.
Subject violated the Department's policies and procedures related to purchasing and service contracts.	Investigation: Adequate. Pending determination of charges.				
Subject Deputy and complainant, a long-time companion, were concluding their relationship. Subject Deputy assaulted the complainant during a dispute over personal and community property.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate (Family Violence) Findings: Pending unit review Result: Pending unit review	Pending unit review			
Sergeant investigated a criminal case in which Sergeant mishandled evidence, failed to advise properly the suspect of his constitutional rights, presented false evidence to the prosecutor, committed perjury and failed to investigate exculpatory evidence.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Result: N/A	Unfounded as to Obedience to Laws, Regulations & Orders, and Performance to Standards.	N/A		
Subject allegedly removed two disabled parking spaces from the parking lot of his tanning business and removed restrictive parking signs from public street adjacent to business.	Investigation: The investigation was inexplicably delayed so that when it was finally completed, there was insufficient time to request additional investigation to insure thoroughness. Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: N/A	Unresolved	N/A		D.A. declined to file criminal charges.
Deputy received a direct order to detain an apparently mentally ill probationer at a fast food restaurant, who claimed to be a law enforcement officer and carried a pellet gun, and take him to a mental health facility for assessment. Deputy instead took the detainee to detainee's residence and took no further action.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate after conferring with station captain. Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence	Founded for Failure to Follow Order and for Giving Incomplete Information to Probation Officer	3 day suspension	Letter of Intent pending	

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Deputy was drinking in a bar off-duty with friends. When he left, the bar security guard noticed that the deputy was concealing a beer bottle and called him back. The deputy discarded the bottle but refused to give identification and ran away, possibly displaying a firearm at the time in the deputy's waistband. The security guard chased the deputy into another bar, where he was rude, uncooperative and may have engaged in some physical resistance before being handcuffed.	Investigation: Adequate Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR advocated founded as to both charges with some factual modifications. Discipline: OIR did not concur with the discipline determined by the commander and advocated a substantial suspension.	Founded	Written Reprimand	Letter of Intent	
Subject security assistant was involved in a domestic violence incident with his estranged wife.	Investigation: Adequate. However, OIR recommended re-interview of the witness in light of severity of proposed discipline. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Discipline: Decision pending.				Subject pled no lo contendre to assault with a deadly weapon, vandalism and domestic violence.
Deputies (1) and (2) became involved in an off duty fight with five suspects. It is alleged that Deputy (1) started the incident by punching the Suspect. Once Deputy (1) threw the first punch, five civilians and the two deputies fought. Suspect (1) admitted he hit Deputy (1) in the head with metal pipe. Suspect (1) alleges Deputy (1) picked up pipe and hit suspects with it. Suspect (1) alleged that Deputy (2) pushed Suspect (1) up against vehicle after fight was over. There were two civilian witnesses involved in the fight, but they did not see Deputy (2) push Suspect (1). These civilians also did not see Deputy (1) hit suspects with pipe.	Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR disagreed with unresolved findings as to Deputy (2) regarding General Behavior. Evidence, however, was not clear cut due to the inconsistent versions of events. OIR agreed with founded finding as to Deputy (1) Regarding same charge.	Founded as to Deputy (1) for General Behavior. Unresolved as to Deputy (2) for General Behavior.	Deputy (1): 2 days suspension Deputy (2): N/A		

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Off-duty deputies stopped at a late-night fast food restaurant on the way home from a hockey game and some beer drinking. A customer at the restaurant picked a fight with one of the deputies and tried to punch him. All four deputies piled on to the customer and subdued him with punches and stomps. Deputy (4) then left and stood outside the restaurant. The customer was still on the floor. Deputy (1) renewed his attack on the customer and Deputies (2) and (3) joined in. Another customer attempted to intervene and separate the deputies from the customer and was pushed up against a wall by Deputy (1) and told to leave. Deputy (1) renewed the attack on the customer on the floor once again and Deputy (2) joined in. Uniformed deputies arrived, allowed the injured customer to leave and later wrote a report with no identification of the injured customer. The four off-duty deputies later stated that the injured customer had escaped their grasp before uniformed deputies arrived. Injured customer was never found or identified.</p>	<p>Investigation: Adequate as to the named deputies. OIR disagrees with decision in early phase of investigation to focus primarily on the four off-duty subjects because of difficulty identifying uniformed subjects. This occurred before OIR oversight (1999), and this area of investigation is currently barred by statute of limitations. Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence with OIR Discipline: LASD concurrence with OIR</p>	<p>Deputy (1): Retired IOD from unrelated injury. Deputy (2): Founded for Unreasonable Force, False Statements, and Failure to Report Force Deputy (3): Founded for False Statements and Failure to Report Force. Unresolved for Unreasonable Force. Deputy (4): Founded for False Statements. Unfounded for Unreasonable Force and Failure to Report Force.</p>	<p>Deputy (1): N/A Deputy (2): Discharge Deputy (3): Discharge Deputy (4): Discharge</p>	<p>Imposed 06/13/03</p>	<p>D.A. evaluation was delayed for three years because of appellate litigation over disclosure to D.A. of original police reports held by subjects' attorneys. D.A. ultimately prevailed but rejected case.</p>
<p>Deputies (1) and (2) observed arrestee masturbating in a park in the afternoon. Deputies discovered arrestee was under the influence of an inhalant and carried a kitchen knife. They handcuffed him and took him to the station for booking. Deputy (1) tightened cuffs after arrestee struggled to get out of them. After booking, arrestee complained to jailer (Deputy 3) of pain in his wrists. Jailer did not seek medical attention. Two days later, arrestee had infected lacerations on both wrists. Arrestee later alleged that he was kicked and kneed in torso during arrest.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: OIR concurrence Discipline: OIR concurrence</p>	<p>Deputies (1) & (2): Unresolved Deputy (3): Founded for Neglecting to Obtain Medical Treatment Custody Assistant: Unresolved</p>	<p>Deputies (1) & (2): N/A Deputy (3): 1 day suspension Custody Assistant: N/A</p>	<p>Letter of intent sent 05/02/03. After station captain discovered that the brand of handcuffs used on the arrestee had significantly sharper interior edges than the other two brands of handcuffs used by LASD personnel, OIR assisted Field Operations Support Services in effecting immediate recall and replacement of this brand from the field in order to reduce potential future injuries.</p>	<p>D.A. reject on 04/11/02</p>

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
Two deputies pursued suspected carjackers who slowed and jumped out of their car while it was moving. One deputy went in foot pursuit, fired once at the fleeing passenger who jumped over a fence and continued after the passenger, becoming separated from the other deputy. The suspect was not injured and was unarmed.	Investigation pending force review.				
A plain clothes deputy patrolling a storage facility stepped out of his vehicle and attempted to contact a suspect urinating on a wall. A van intervened, picked up the suspect, drove at the deputy and crashed into his vehicle, and then backed up as the deputy fired at the van.	Investigation complete. Executive Force Review set for 06/24/03.				
Suspect was detained by Deputy (1) for a weapon, fled on foot, was apprehended a short time later by two other deputies, fought with the deputies and received five punches in the face. Suspect lost consciousness during trip to the station and was taken to hospital instead. CAT scan was normal and there was no head trauma. Suspect recovered quickly.	Set for Executive Force Review June 2003.				
Deputies confronted juvenile suspects coming out of a residential burglary. One of the juveniles ran, jumped a fence, took a gun out of his waistband and pointed it at a deputy, who fired three to four times, striking the juvenile in the hand. The juvenile fled, dumped the gun in a trash can and was eventually found, bitten and apprehended by a canine unit.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Two deputies entered a large holding cell to investigate a recent disturbance. Deputy (1) tried to escort one of the inmates out of the cell. The inmate brushed the deputies hands away and the deputy grabbed him by the shoulders or neck and pushed the inmate against a wall. Deputy (2) believed that Deputy (1) was choking the inmate and intervened as two other deputies handcuffed the inmate without incident.	Pending evaluation at unit.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
A nurse in the medical module was informed that two inmates were plotting to plant cocaine on her. She informed a supervisor who arranged to have the inmate's hospital bed area searched. The inmate appeared to grab and swallow an object when the search began and struggled with deputies. Inmate and deputies fell to the floor. Inmate may have incurred a fracture to his wrist during this incident.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Deputies observed three juvenile drive-by shooting suspects, who abandoned their vehicle and fled on foot. Two were apprehended quickly, one with a loaded pistol. Deputies spotted the third juvenile walking on a sidewalk and ordered him to stop. Juvenile reached toward his waist and into his pants pocket and one of the deputies fired a round, missing him.	Pending Executive Force Review				
SEB, crisis negotiators, and mental evaluation team called into trailer park where mentally unbalanced man was armed with a rifle. Trailer park was evacuated. Negotiations and gas produced no effect. After several hours, the man appeared at the door, pointed rifle at deputies who shot at him. He retreated into trailer then came out unarmed, sat down and did not respond further. Man pronounced dead at hospital.	Pending Executive Force Review				
Deputy responding to a burglar alarm saw a man who fit a recent homicide suspect's description. He ordered the man to approach. Instead, the man crouched, reached for his waistband, turned away, turned back and raised what appeared to be a gun. The deputy fired one shot, fatally wounding the man.	Investigation ongoing.				
Deputies and Sgt. respond to a domestic dispute call, are met at the door by wife who says her husband is despondent, has a gun, and went out the back. They search the back yard and find husband sitting on the floor of a shed holding a gun. They back away, take cover and order the husband to put the gun down and come out. Husband does not respond. The deputies and sergeant see a muzzle flash and return fire hitting the husband once in the thigh. He surrenders.	Investigation ongoing.				

Allegations or Synopsis	OIR Recommendation	Result	Discipline	Subsequent History	Related Civil/Criminal
<p>Subject (1), a supervisor, submitted a false shooting card in an effort to meet the trimester qualifying deadline. He colluded with Subject (2), a subordinate, in accomplishing this.</p>	<p>Investigation: Thorough Charges: Appropriate Findings: LASD concurrence Results: OIR monitored the investigation from the outset and worked closely with LASD executives to ensure appropriate discipline. OIR recommended demotion for Subject (1) and also recommended specific settlement terms to promote accountability and constructive acceptance of responsibility. LASD concurred.</p>	<p>Subject (1) admitted to alleged misconduct and accepted demotion pursuant to settlement agreement. Founded for General Behavior. Subject (2): Founded for General Behavior.</p>	<p>Subject (1): Demotion pursuant to a settlement agreement. Subject (2): 10 day suspension</p>	<p>OIR continues to monitor Subject (1)'s status and his compliance with settlement terms.</p>	