

PROPOSER QUESTIONS

Question:

- Section 2.7.7, page 22, Exhibit 11 Pricing Sheet is a required form. In the file 6_RFP_Appendix_D_Reqd_Forms, PDF, exhibit 11, page 14 simply states "Pricing Sheet will be unique for each solicitation." Also, pricing is not listed as evaluation criteria in Section 3.4, p.26. Is there a format to submit a price proposal, or is price excluded?

Answer:

The Pricing Sheet is not an evaluation criteria requirement and will not be used for selection of the most qualified and responsive bidder. The Pricing Sheet will be removed from the RFP package.

Question:

- What is the average project size/cost for upcoming construction to be managed under this contract?

Answer:

The Sheriff's Department manages projects with a value of under \$1M; other Capital Projects with a value over \$1M are managed by the Department of Public Works.

Question:

- Are there any limits to the project sizes that may be constructed under this contract?

Answer:

As addressed previously, the Sheriff's Department manages projects with a value of under \$1M. The Sheriff's Department will function as a liaison on larger Capital Projects managed by the Department of Public Works.

Question:

- We note release of your solicitation number LASD-FPB-10-01 and assume information regarding projects will be forthcoming. Is it possible to obtain this list and anticipated dates of construction?

Answer:

There is no specific project listing or anticipated construction schedule available. We are a service unit and respond to requests from other units within the Department.

Question:

- Section 1.17 (pg. 6) of the RFP (Indemnification & Insurance) references Appendix A Sample Agreement, Section 9.21 for indemnification and insurance requirements. Appendix A Sample Agreement, Section 9.21 (pg 23) provides reference "two alternative Indemnifications and Insurance Provisions as set forth in Attachment 1 and Attachment 2 of this Agreement". Review of the Table of Attachments and Exhibits included in Appendix A Sample Agreement Attachments (no page number) lists these two Alternative Indemnification and Insurance Provisions, but they are not included amongst the exhibits in the pdf.

Please provide referenced attachments for Alternative 1 and Alternative 2

Answer:

Copies of Alternative 1 and 2 will be released to all Proposers via a new Bulletin.

Question:

- Section 2.7.7 (pg 22) of the RFP (Proposal Required Forms – Section F) Identifies Exhibit 11 of Appendix D (Pricing Sheet) as a required form to be included within the proposal submittal. Exhibit 11 (pg 14) of Appendix D (Pricing Sheet) is a blank form stating "Pricing Sheet will be unique for each solicitation". *Please clarify instructions for preparation of Exhibit 11 by proposers for purposes of the proposal submittal.*

Answer:

The Pricing Sheet is not an evaluation criteria requirement and will not be used for selection of the most qualified and responsive bidder. The Pricing Sheet will be removed from the RFP package.

Question:

- On page 23 of Appendix A-Sample Agreement, 9.21 Indemnification and Insurance, refers to two alternative indemnifications and insurance provisions "set forth in Attachments 1 and 2." Attachments 1 and 2 seem to be missing. Can we get copies of those documents?

Answer:

Copies of Alternative 1 and 2 will be released to all Proposers via a new Bulletin

Question:

- Will this RFP be used in any way for the two Los Angeles County Jail projects or will there be a separate procurement for the Jails?

Answer:

No, this RFP is not connected in any way to the L A County Jail project. The two Jail Projects are managed by the Department of Public Works and they will decide how to handle the management of the two Jail Projects.

Question:

- Are the selected firms under this RFP conflicted from participating in the anticipated procurement for the two Los Angeles County Jail projects for which the RFP will be released by Los Angeles County Department of Public Works?

Answer:

Yes. As mandated through Board of Supervisor's policy and interpreted by our County Counsel, any entity/consultant under contract to the County that has participated in the preparation of the scope of work for a particular project will not be allowed to participate in the corresponding RFP. As this unit will be directly involved in the programming of the Jail Project, the successful firm will be disqualified from participating in the Jail Project RFP.

Question:

- On page 3, Section 1.8 Agreement Term, first paragraph, there is reference to a requirement to submit a project schedule. Is there specific anticipated work that should be included in this schedule or would it be determined after NTP?

Answer:

No project schedule is required in the RFP solicitation. During the contract term, as part of the project management scope of work, the successful firm (project manager) will be required to maintain a project schedule on any project assigned to him/her.

Question:

- In Appendix D-Required Forms there is no attachment for the Pricing Sheet, other than a statement saying "Pricing Sheet will be unique for each solicitation." Will you be providing a Pricing Sheet or would you just like us to provide labor rates for the positions we are proposing?

Answer:

The Pricing Sheet is not required as part of the RFP submittal.

Question:

- If a certain form from Appendix D (i.e., Transitional Job Opportunities) is not applicable to our company, can we omit the form from the response or should we include it and list "N/A"?

Answer:

You should include the form. Enter your company's name on the form and clearly mark "N/A" above the wording. "I hereby certify..."

Question:

- Are there any page limits, restrictions, or guidelines for this Proposal?

Answer:

No

Question:

- I do not see any mention of placement of resumes. Can we provide narratives for key personnel in the "Qualifications" section and provide full resumes in an "Attachment" section?

Answer:

Narratives and resumes of key personnel may be provided as part of the Section 2.0 Proposal Submittal Requirements, subsection 2.7.3 (Section B) in the Qualifications section.

Question:

- Does the conflict of interest apply to all members of the team, including sub-consultants? If it does apply to sub-consultants, will the conflict of interest apply to both future construction and design projects?

Answer:

Yes to both.

Question:

- My main concern is with land surveying services. For Construction Project Management services with an emphasis on planning stages, usually land surveying receives very little, if any, work. The firm I was planning to utilize is very heavily involved with projects with the Sheriff's Department, and I just want to make sure that there is no misunderstanding that if they participate on this contract, even if they do not receive any work, that they will be precluded from bidding on any Sheriff's Department related projects, both construction and design, that may come out in the future.

Answer:

As mandated through Board of Supervisor's policy and interpreted by our County Counsel, any entity/consultant under contract to the County that has participated in the preparation of the scope of work for a particular project will not be allowed to participate in the corresponding RFP.

Question:

- It was stated in the Pre-Proposal Conference that Prime Firms could not be contracted for both the large project (over \$50M) as well as the small projects (over \$25M); however – is it acceptable to be a sub on both the large as well as the smaller projects? It was stated in the Pre-Proposal Conference that Prime Firms could not be contracted for both the large as well as the smaller projects; however – is it acceptable to be a prime on one of the projects such as a the smaller projects and to be a sub on another project such as the larger project?

Answer:

As mandated through Board of Supervisor's policy and interpreted by our County Counsel, any entity/consultant under contract to the County that has participated in the preparation of the scope of work for a particular project will not be allowed to participate in the corresponding RFP. This applies to any consultant, subsidiary of, or sub-consultant to the consultant.

Question:

- Will there be an available copy of the sign-in sheet of the Pre-Proposer Conference Attendees? And how will I be able to obtain this sign-in sheet?

Answer:

A copy of the sign-in sheet will be sent out to all bidders via a Bulletin.

Question:

- How will I be notified of any addendums to the RFP? How will I be notified of the released Questions and Answers?

Answer:

Any addendum or release of the Questions and Answers will be sent out to all bidders via a Bulletin accessible through http://www.lasd.org/lasd_contracts/info.html (underscore between "lasd" and "contracts").

Question:

- Confirming the Proposal due date is September 28, 2010, at 2:00 p.m. (PST).

Answer:

Yes

///END///